

Final

**Site Investigation Report
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q and
Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q**

**Fort McClellan
Calhoun County, Alabama**

Prepared for:

**U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Mobile District
109 St. Joseph Street
Mobile, Alabama 36602**

Prepared by:

**Shaw Environmental, Inc.
312 Directors Drive
Knoxville, Tennessee 37923**

**Task Order CK10
Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018
Shaw Project No. 796887**

February 2004

Revision 0

Table of Contents

	Page
List of Appendices	iii
List of Tables	iv
List of Figures	iv
Executive Summary	ES-1
1.0 Introduction	1-1
1.1 Project Description	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Objectives	1-2
1.3 Site Description and History	1-2
1.3.1 Archives Search Report Ranges	1-4
1.3.2 Aerial Photographs	1-4
2.0 Previous Investigations	2-1
3.0 Current Site Investigation Activities	3-1
3.1 UXO Avoidance	3-1
3.2 Environmental Sampling	3-1
3.2.1 Surface and Depositional Soil Sampling	3-1
3.2.2 Subsurface Soil Sampling	3-2
3.2.3 Monitoring Well Installation	3-2
3.2.4 Water Level Measurements	3-4
3.2.5 Groundwater Sampling	3-4
3.3 Surveying of Sample Locations	3-4
3.4 Analytical Program	3-4
3.5 Sample Preservation, Packaging, and Shipping	3-5
3.6 Investigation-Derived Waste Management and Disposal	3-5
3.7 Variances/Nonconformances	3-6
3.8 Data Quality	3-6
4.0 Site Characterization	4-1
4.1 Regional and Site Geology	4-1
4.1.1 Regional Geology	4-1
4.1.2 Site Geology	4-5
4.2 Site Hydrology	4-7
4.2.1 Surface Hydrology	4-7
4.2.2 Hydrogeology	4-7

Table of Contents (Continued)

	Page
5.0 Summary of Analytical Results	5-1
5.1 Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results.....	5-1
5.2 Subsurface Soil Analytical Results	5-4
5.3 Groundwater Analytical Results.....	5-5
6.0 Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations.....	6-1
7.0 References	7-1

Attachment 1 – List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

List of Appendices

Appendix A – Sample Collection Logs and Analysis Request/Chain-of-Custody Records

Appendix B – Boring Logs and Well Construction Logs

Appendix C – Well Development Logs

Appendix D – Survey Data

Appendix E – Summary of Validated Analytical Data

Appendix F – Quality Assurance Reports for Analytical Data

List of Tables

Table	Title	Follows Page
3-1	Sampling Locations and Rationale	3-1
3-2	Soil Sample Designations and Analytical Parameters	3-1
3-3	Monitoring Well Construction Summary	3-2
3-4	Groundwater Elevations	3-4
3-5	Groundwater Sample Designations and Analytical Parameters	3-4
3-6	Groundwater Field Parameters	3-4
5-1	Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results	5-1
5-2	Subsurface Soil Analytical Results	5-1
5-3	Groundwater Analytical Results	5-1

List of Figures

Figure	Title	Follows Page
1-1	Site Location Map	1-2
1-2	Site Map	1-2
1-3	Range Location Map, ASR Plate 6	1-4
1-4	1954 Aerial Photograph	1-4
1-5	1969 Aerial Photograph	1-4
3-1	Sample Location Map	3-1
4-1	Geologic Cross Section A-A'	4-6
4-2	Groundwater Elevation Map	4-7
5-1	Metals Results Exceeding SSSLs and Background in Surface and Depositional Soil	5-2
5-2	Metals Results Exceeding ESVs and Background in Surface and Depositional Soil	5-3
5-3	Metals Results Exceeding SSSLs and Background in Subsurface Soil	5-5

Executive Summary

In accordance with Contract Number DACA21-96-D-0018, Task Order CK10, Shaw Environmental, Inc. completed a site investigation (SI) at Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q, at Fort McClellan in Calhoun County, Alabama. The SI was conducted to determine whether chemical constituents are present at the site as a result of historical mission-related Army activities. The SI consisted of the collection and analysis of 25 surface soil samples, 6 depositional soil samples, 23 subsurface soil samples, and 4 groundwater samples. In addition, 4 permanent monitoring wells were installed in the saturated zone to facilitate groundwater sample collection and to provide site-specific geological and hydrogeological characterization information.

Chemical analysis of samples collected at the site indicates that metals, volatile organic compounds (VOC), and pesticides were detected in site media. Semivolatile organic compounds, herbicides, and explosive compounds were not detected in site media. To evaluate whether the detected constituents pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment, analytical results were compared to human health site-specific screening levels (SSSL), ecological screening values (ESV), and background screening values for Fort McClellan.

Constituents detected at concentrations exceeding SSSLs and background (where available) were identified as chemicals of potential concern (COPC) in site media. COPCs were limited to eight metals in surface and subsurface soil only. The most significant COPC was lead, which was detected at concentrations exceeding its residential SSSL in six surface soil samples. VOC and pesticide concentrations in site media were all below SSSLs.

Constituents detected at concentrations exceeding ESVs and background (where available) were identified as constituents of potential ecological concern (COPEC) in surface soil. Several metals and three pesticides were identified as COPECs. The most significant COPEC was lead, which exceeded its ESV and background in 18 surface soil samples. VOC concentrations in site media were below ESVs.

Based on the results of the SI, past operations at Parcels 94Q and 146Q have impacted the environment. Therefore, Shaw Environmental, Inc. recommends that a remedial investigation be conducted to determine the extent of contamination in soil at Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q.

1.0 Introduction

The U.S. Army has selected Fort McClellan (FTMC), located in Calhoun County, Alabama, for closure by the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Commission under Public Laws 100-526 and 101-510. The 1990 Base Closure Act, Public Law 101-510, established the process by which U.S. Department of Defense (DOD) installations would be closed or realigned. The BRAC Environmental Restoration Program requires investigation and cleanup of federal properties prior to transfer to the public domain. The U.S. Army is conducting environmental studies of the impact of suspected contaminants at parcels at FTMC under the management of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)-Mobile District. The USACE contracted Shaw Environmental, Inc. (Shaw) (formerly IT Corporation [IT]) to perform the site investigation (SI) at Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q, under Contract Number DACA21-96-D-0018, Task Order CK10.

This report presents specific information and results compiled from the SI, including field sampling and analysis and monitoring well installation activities conducted at Parcels 94Q and 146Q.

1.1 Project Description

Parcels 94Q and 146Q were identified as areas to be investigated prior to property transfer. The sites were classified as Category 1 Qualified parcels in the *Final Environmental Baseline Survey, Fort McClellan, Alabama* (EBS) (Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. [ESE], 1998). Category 1 Qualified parcels are areas that have no evidence of storage, release, or disposal of Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA)-regulated hazardous substances or petroleum products but that do have other environmental or safety concerns. Parcels 94Q and 146Q were qualified because chemicals of potential concern (COPC) may be present as a result of historical range activities.

A site-specific work plan, comprised of a field sampling plan (SFSP), a safety and health plan, and an unexploded ordnance (UXO) safety plan, was finalized in April 2002 (IT, 2002a). The work plan was prepared to provide technical guidance for SI field activities at Parcels 94Q and 146Q. The site-specific work plan was used as an attachment to the installation-wide work plan (IT, 1998) and the installation-wide sampling and analysis plan (SAP) (IT, 2000a, 2002b). The SAP includes the installation-wide safety and health plan and quality assurance plan.

The SI included fieldwork to collect 25 surface soil samples, 6 depositional soil samples, 23 subsurface soil samples, and 4 groundwater samples to determine whether potential site-specific chemicals are present at the site.

1.2 Purpose and Objectives


The SI program was designed to collect data from site media and provide a level of defensible data and information in sufficient detail to determine whether chemical constituents are present at Parcels 94Q and 146Q at concentrations that pose an unacceptable risk to human health or the environment. The conclusions of the SI in Chapter 6.0 are based on the comparison of the analytical results to human health site-specific screening levels (SSSL), ecological screening values (ESV), and background screening values for FTMC. The SSSLs and ESVs were developed by Shaw as part of the human health and ecological risk evaluations associated with SIs being performed under the BRAC Environmental Restoration Program at FTMC. The SSSLs and ESVs are presented in the *Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report* (IT, 2000b). Background metals screening values are presented in the *Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama* (Science Applications International Corporation, 1998).

Based on the conclusions presented in this SI report, the BRAC Cleanup Team will decide either to propose “No Further Action” or to conduct additional work at the site.

1.3 Site Description and History

Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q, are located west of the Choccolocco Mountains in the Choccolocco Corridor east of the FTMC Main Post (Figure 1-1). The Choccolocco Corridor was leased by the U.S. government from the State of Alabama from 1941 until 1998. The Choccolocco Corridor is currently managed by the Alabama Forestry Commission. The information presented for Parcels 94Q and 146Q was compiled from the EBS, the *Archives Search Report, Fort McClellan, Anniston, Alabama* (ASR) (USACE, 2001a), and site walks conducted by Shaw personnel in December 2001 and January 2002.

Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q. Range 40 is a former small-arms range. According to the EBS, Former Range 40 (Parcel 94Q) occupied approximately 3,600 acres including its range safety fan; however, Former Range 40 was described in the ASR as 30 acres in size. The SI for Parcel 94Q focused on the firing line and impact area of Former Range 40, where range activities would most likely have affected the environment. Figure 1-2 shows the area of investigation for Parcel 94Q (approximately 25 acres).




LEGEND

- UNIMPROVED ROADS
- PAVED ROADS
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- SURFACE DRAINAGE / CREEK
- TRENCH
- BERM

FIGURE 1-1
SITE LOCATION MAP
FORMER RANGE 40, PARCEL 94Q
AND RANGE, CHOCOLOCCO
CORRIDOR, PARCEL 146Q

U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
MOBILE DISTRICT
FORT McCLELLAN
CALHOUN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018

Shaw Shaw Environmental, Inc.



LEGEND

UNIMPROVED ROADS

PAVED ROADS

TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS
(CONTOUR INTERVAL - 25 FOOT)

TREES / TREELINE

PARCEL BOUNDARY

FIRING LINE

SURFACE DRAINAGE / CREEK

FENCE

TRENCH

BERM

APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF OBSERVED FEATURES

①

DEPRESSION

②

ELECTRICITY SUPPLY

③

LARGE HOLE

④

TARGET PIT

⑤

DISMANTLED HELICOPTER

⑥

HALF DRUM, RUSTED

⑦

COILS OF RAZOR WIRE

⑧

MULTIPLE DEPRESSIONS

⑨

WOODEN SIGN

⑩

DEEP PIT AND TWO LARGE MOUNDS

⑪

SWING-UP TARGET WITH ELECTRICAL CONDUIT

⑫

SEVERAL TARGET MOUNDS, ONE DEEP
BUNKER, ELECTRICAL CONNECTIONS

⑬

POSSIBLE ELECTRICAL CONNECTION FOR
POP-UP TARGET CONTROL

⑭

STATIONARY TARGET

⑮

SHALLOW DEPRESSIONS WITH WOOD DEBRIS

⑯

MOUNTAIN BIKE TRAIL SIGN

⑰

MULTIPLE PITS AND TRENCHES

Interviews with former FTMC personnel indicated that Range 40 was used for small-arms training during World War II, the Korean War, and the Vietnam War. The direction of fire was reportedly to the west; however, based on the firing line identified in the EBS, the Range 40 orientation presented in the ASR, and site walk observations, the direction of fire was to the northwest. Evidence of smoke training was also observed in the vicinity of this former range (ESE, 1998).

Site walks conducted by Shaw personnel in December 2001 and January 2002 revealed numerous range-related features (Figure 1-2). The features included:

- A dismantled helicopter near the northern edge of the area of investigation for Parcel 94Q
- Coils of razor wire
- Several old wooden signs
- A deep pit with two large mounds nearby
- Several swing-up targets with electrical conduit
- Several target mounds, a bunker, and electrical connections
- A possible electrical connection for a pop-up target control
- A stationary target
- Shallow depressions, some with wooden debris
- Several low berms oriented parallel to the firing line.

Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q. Parcel 146Q, which covers approximately 102 acres, was identified by the Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center (EPIC) near the northwestern portion of Choccolocco Corridor (Figure 1-2). EPIC reported that this range appeared to be active on aerial photographs dated 1949, 1954, and 1972. This range is presumed to have been a small-arms range because cratered impact areas were not observed on aerial photographs (ESE, 1998).

Site walks conducted by Shaw personnel in December 2001 and January 2002 revealed numerous features within the area of investigation for Parcel 146Q (Figure 1-2). The observed features included:

- Several circular depressions (up to 10 feet wide and 5 feet deep)
- A pole with electrical wires
- A potential target pit
- Several trenches in the southwestern portion of the parcel
- Several coils of razor wire
- Half of a rusted drum just outside the southwestern parcel border.

1.3.1 Archives Search Report Ranges

Plate 6 of the ASR, which covers the 1950 to 1973 time frame, depicts a small-arms range, identified as Range 40, within the boundaries of Parcels 94Q and 146Q (Figure 1-3). Range 40 is rectangular, oriented in a northwest/southeast direction, and approximately 30 acres in size (USACE, 2001a). The location, orientation, and size of Range 40 are similar to those of Parcel 94Q. The spatial accuracy of the parcels is attributable to the use of older aerial photographs and differences in mapping the EBS and the ASR.

The ASR described Range 40 as a “Squad Attack Range” that was built during the Vietnam War and was abandoned by 1974. It was reported that only blank ammunition and pyrotechnic materials were used at this range (USACE, 2001a).

1.3.2 Aerial Photographs

Available aerial photographs were reviewed to reveal any land-use activity at Parcels 94Q and 146Q, as described in the following paragraphs. Aerial photographs from 1937 and 1940, taken prior to the U.S. government lease of the corridor, showed only forest and agricultural uses of the land.

1954. The 1954 aerial photograph (Figure 1-4) showed a rectangular cleared area, oriented east to west, in the central portion of Parcel 146Q. A dirt road is visible near the center of the clearing. The remainder of Parcel 146Q and the area of investigation for Parcel 94Q was forested.

1969. The 1969 aerial photograph (Figure 1-5) revealed increased activity in the firing line area for Parcel 94Q. In addition, the majority of Parcel 94Q was cleared, suggesting that the range was being actively used at this time. With the exception of the northeast portion, Parcel 146Q was wooded.

1976, 1982, and 1994. These photographs were similar. A small portion remains clear in the eastern area of the parcels; the remainder of the area is forested.





Figure 1-3
Range Location Map,
ASR Plate 6

Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q
and Range, Choccolocco
Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Legend

- EBS Parcel
- ASR Range
- Roads
- Streams (dashed where intermittent)
- Topographic Contours 5-foot Interval






Figure 1-4

1954 Aerial Photograph

Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q
and Range, Choccolocco
Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Legend

Parcel Boundary

400 0 400 Feet

NAD83 State Plane Coordinates



 Shaw Environmental, Inc.


U.S. Army Corps
of Engineers
Mobile District

Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018




Figure 1-5

1969 Aerial Photograph

Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q
and Range, Choccolocco
Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Alabama

Legend

Parcel Boundary

400 0 400 Feet
NAD83 State Plane Coordinates



 Shaw Environmental, Inc.


U.S. Army Corps
of Engineers
Mobile District

Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018

2.0 Previous Investigations

An EBS was conducted by ESE to document current environmental conditions of all FTMC property (ESE, 1998). The purpose of the study was to identify sites that, based on available information, have no history of contamination and comply with DOD guidance for fast-track cleanup at closing installations. The EBS also provides a baseline picture of FTMC properties by identifying and categorizing the properties by seven criteria:

1. Areas where no storage, release, or disposal of hazardous substances or petroleum products has occurred (including no migration of these substances from adjacent areas).
2. Areas where only release or disposal of petroleum products has occurred.
3. Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, but at concentrations that do not require a removal or remedial response.
4. Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, and all removal or remedial actions to protect human health and the environment have been taken.
5. Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, and removal or remedial actions are underway, but all required remedial actions have not yet been taken.
6. Areas where release, disposal, and/or migration of hazardous substances has occurred, but required actions have not yet been implemented.
7. Areas that are not evaluated or require additional evaluation.

For non-CERCLA environmental or safety issues, the parcel label includes the following components: a unique non-CERCLA issue number, the letter “Q” designating the parcel as a Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act (CERFA) Category 1 Qualified parcel, and the code of the specific non-CERCLA issue(s) present (ESE, 1998). The non-CERCLA issue codes used are:

- A = Asbestos (in buildings)
- L = Lead-based paint (in buildings)
- P = Polychlorinated biphenyls
- R = Radon (in buildings)
- RD = Radionuclides/radiological issues

- X = UXO
- CWM = Chemical warfare material.

The EBS was conducted in accordance with CERFA protocols (Public Law 102-426) and DOD policy regarding contamination assessment. Record searches and reviews were performed on all reasonably available documents from FTMC, the Alabama Department of Environmental Management (ADEM), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Region 4, and Calhoun County, as well as a database search of CERCLA-regulated substances, petroleum products, and Resource Conservation and Recovery Act-regulated facilities. Available historical maps and aerial photographs were reviewed to document historical land uses. Personal and telephone interviews of past and present FTMC employees and military personnel were conducted. In addition, visual site inspections were conducted to verify conditions of specific property parcels.

Parcels 94Q and 146Q are areas where no known or recorded storage, release, or disposal (including migration) of hazardous substances or petroleum products has occurred on site property. The parcels, however, were qualified because chemicals of potential concern may be present as a result of historical range activities. Therefore, these parcels required additional evaluation to determine their environmental condition.

3.0 Current Site Investigation Activities

This chapter summarizes SI activities conducted by Shaw at Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q, including UXO avoidance activities, environmental sampling and analysis, and groundwater monitoring well installation activities.

3.1 UXO Avoidance

UXO avoidance was performed at Parcels 94Q and 146Q, following methodology outlined in the SAP. Shaw UXO personnel used a low-sensitivity magnetometer to perform a surface sweep of the area of investigation prior to site access. After the site was cleared for access, sample locations were monitored by UXO personnel following procedures outlined in the SAP.

3.2 Environmental Sampling

Environmental sampling performed during the SI at Parcels 94Q and 146Q included the collection of surface and depositional soil samples, subsurface soil samples, and groundwater samples for chemical analysis. Sample locations were determined by observing site physical characteristics during a site walk and by reviewing historical documents and aerial photographs pertaining to activities conducted at the site. The sample locations, media, and rationale are summarized in Table 3-1. Sampling locations are shown on Figure 3-1. Samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of site-related parameters listed in Section 3.4.

3.2.1 Surface and Depositional Soil Sampling

Surface soil samples were collected from 25 locations and depositional soil samples were collected from 6 locations at Parcels 94Q and 146Q, as shown on Figure 3-1. Soil sampling locations and rationale are presented in Table 3-1. Sample designations and analytical parameters are listed in Table 3-2. Soil sampling locations were determined in the field by the on-site geologist based on UXO avoidance activities, sampling rationale, presence of surface structures, and site topography.

Sample Collection. Surface soil samples were collected from the uppermost foot of soil using a stainless-steel hand auger, following the methodology specified in the SAP. Depositional soil samples were collected from the upper six inches of soil with either a stainless-steel hand auger or stainless-steel spoon. Surface and depositional soil samples were collected by first removing surface debris (e.g., rocks and vegetation) from the immediate sample area. The soil sample was then collected with the sampling device and was screened with a photoionization detector (PID) in accordance with procedures outlined in the SAP. As necessary, the soil fraction for volatile

Table 3-1

**Sampling Locations and Rationale
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama**

(Page 1 of 3)

Sample Location	Sample Media	Sample Location Rationale
HR-94Q-GP01	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in the northwestern portion of the Parcel 94Q, near several depressions that contained wooden debris, to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP02	Surface soil	A surface soil sample was collected in a target bunker located in the northwestern portion of Parcel 94Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP03	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in the westernmost berm in the northwestern portion of Parcel 94Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP04	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in the westernmost berm in the northwestern portion of Parcel 94Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP05	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in the central berm located in Parcel 94Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP06	Surface soil	A surface soil sample was collected from a target mound in the central area of Parcel 94Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP07	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in the vicinity of several depressions and a swing-up target located in the central portion of Parcel 94Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP08	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected from the easternmost berm located in Parcel 94Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP09	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected near a swing-up target located in the southeastern portion of Parcel 94Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-GP10	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in the eastern portion of Parcel 94Q, in the firing line area, to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-94Q-MW01	Surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater	Surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater samples were collected in the northwestern portion of Parcel 94Q downslope of several target bunkers to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.

Table 3-1

**Sampling Locations and Rationale
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama**

(Page 2 of 3)

Sample Location	Sample Media	Sample Location Rationale
HR-94Q-MW02	Surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater	Surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater samples were collected in the southeastern portion of Parcel 94Q, downslope of a swing up target, a deep pit, and two large mounds, to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP01	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in a trench located in the southwestern area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP02	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected downslope of several depressions located in the northwestern area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP03	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected from a berm located in the northwestern portion of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP04	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected downslope of a depression located in the northwestern area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP05	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected near multiple pits and trenches observed in the southwestern area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP06	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in a trench located in the south-central area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP07	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in a trench located in the south-central area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP08	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected in a trench located in the south-central area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP09	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected near several depressions located in the north-central area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-GP10	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected near depressions located in the north-central area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.

Table 3-1

**Sampling Locations and Rationale
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama**

(Page 3 of 3)

Sample Location	Sample Media	Sample Location Rationale
HR-146Q-GP11	Surface soil and subsurface soil	Surface and subsurface soil samples were collected downslope of a large hole in the north-central area of Parcel 146Q, in the vicinity of a depression and target pit, to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-MW01	Surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater	Surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater samples were collected in the southwestern area of Parcel 146Q, downslope of multiple pits and trenches, to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-MW02	Surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater	Surface soil, subsurface soil, and groundwater samples were collected near several depressions in the north-central area of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-DEP01	Depositional soil	A depositional soil sample was collected in a dry creek bed just west of Parcel 146Q to determine conditions upslope of the parcel.
HR-146Q-DEP02	Depositional soil	A depositional soil sample was collected in a dry creek bed northwest of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-DEP03	Depositional soil	A depositional soil sample was collected in a dry creek bed in the west-central area of Parcel 146Q, downslope of several depressions, to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-DEP04	Depositional soil	A depositional soil sample was collected in a surface water drainage in the east-central area of Parcel 146Q, downslope of the majority of the parcel, to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-DEP05	Depositional soil	A depositional soil sample was collected in the central area of Parcel 146Q, downslope of several depressions and a target pit, to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.
HR-146Q-DEP06	Depositional soil	A depositional soil sample was collected in a dry creek bed just outside the eastern boundary of Parcel 146Q to determine if potential site-specific chemicals have impacted site media.

Table 3-2

Soil Sample Designations and Analytical Parameters
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 1 of 2)

Sample Location	Sample Designation	Sample Depth (ft)	QA/QC Samples		Analytical Parameters
			Field Duplicates	MS/MSD	
HR-94Q-GP01	HR-94Q-GP01-SS-RJ0001-REG	0-1		HR-94Q-GP01-SS-RJ0001-MS/MSD	Metals and Explosives
	HR-94Q-GP01-DS-RJ0002-REG	1-2			
HR-94Q-GP02	HR-94Q-GP02-SS-RJ0003-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
HR-94Q-GP03	HR-94Q-GP03-SS-RJ0004-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-94Q-GP03-DS-RJ0005-REG	1-2			
HR-94Q-GP04	HR-94Q-GP04-SS-RJ0006-REG	0-1	HR-94Q-GP04-DS-RJ0008-FD		Metals, VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, and Explosives
	HR-94Q-GP04-DS-RJ0007-REG	1-2			
HR-94Q-GP05	HR-94Q-GP05-SS-RJ0009-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-94Q-GP05-DS-RJ0010-REG	2-3			
HR-94Q-GP06	HR-94Q-GP06-SS-RJ0011-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
HR-94Q-GP07	HR-94Q-GP07-SS-RJ0012-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-94Q-GP07-DS-RJ0013-REG	1-2			
HR-94Q-GP08	HR-94Q-GP08-SS-RJ0014-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-94Q-GP08-DS-RJ0015-REG	1-2			
HR-94Q-GP09	HR-94Q-GP09-SS-RJ0016-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-94Q-GP09-DS-RJ0017-REG	1-1.5			
HR-94Q-GP10	HR-94Q-GP10-SS-RJ0018-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-94Q-GP10-DS-RJ0019-REG	1-2			
HR-94Q-MW01	HR-94Q-MW01-SS-RJ0020-REG	0-1	HR-94Q-MW01-SS-RJ0021-FD		Metals, VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, and Explosives
	HR-94Q-MW01-DS-RJ0022-REG	1-2			
HR-94Q-MW02	HR-94Q-MW02-SS-RJ0023-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-94Q-MW02-DS-RJ0024-REG	2-3			
HR-146Q-GP01	HR-146Q-GP01-SS-RK0001-REG	0-1		HR-146Q-GP01-SS-RK0001-MS/MSD	Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP01-DS-RK0002-REG	1-2			
HR-146Q-GP02	HR-146Q-GP02-SS-RK0003-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP02-DS-RK0004-REG	2-3			
HR-146Q-GP03	HR-146Q-GP03-SS-RK0005-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP03-DS-RK0006-REG	2-3			
HR-146Q-GP04	HR-146Q-GP04-SS-RK0007-REG	0-1	HR-146Q-GP04-DS-RK0009-FD		Metals, VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP04-DS-RK0008-REG	2-3			
HR-146Q-GP05	HR-146Q-GP05-SS-RK0010-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP05-DS-RK0011-REG	3-4			
HR-146Q-GP06	HR-146Q-GP06-SS-RK0012-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP06-DS-RK0013-REG	1-2			

Table 3-2

Soil Sample Designations and Analytical Parameters
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 2 of 2)

Sample Location	Sample Designation	Sample Depth (ft)	QA/QC Samples		Analytical Parameters
			Field Duplicates	MS/MSD	
HR-146Q-GP07	HR-146Q-GP07-SS-RK0014-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP07-DS-RK0015-REG	2-2.5			
HR-146Q-GP08	HR-146Q-GP08-SS-RK0016-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP08-DS-RK0017-REG	2-2.5			
HR-146Q-GP09	HR-146Q-GP09-SS-RK0018-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP09-DS-RK0019-REG	3-4			
HR-146Q-GP10	HR-146Q-GP10-SS-RK0020-REG	0-1			Metals, VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP10-DS-RK0021-REG	2-3	HR-146Q-GP10-DS-RK0022-FD		
HR-146Q-GP11	HR-146Q-GP11-SS-RK0023-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-GP11-DS-RK0024-REG	1-2			
HR-146Q-MW01	HR-146Q-MW01-SS-RK0025-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-MW01-DS-RK0026-REG	1-2			
HR-146Q-MW02	HR-146Q-MW02-SS-RK0027-REG	0-1			Metals and Explosives
	HR-146Q-MW02-DS-RK0028-REG	1-2			
HR-146Q-DEP01	HR-146Q-DEP01-DEP-RK0029-REG	0-0.5			Metals and Explosives
HR-146Q-DEP02	HR-146Q-DEP02-DEP-RK0030-REG	0-0.5	HR-146Q-DEP02-DEP-RK0031-FD		Metals and Explosives
HR-146Q-DEP03	HR-146Q-DEP03-DEP-RK0032-REG	0-0.5			Metals and Explosives
HR-146Q-DEP04	HR-146Q-DEP04-DEP-RK0033-REG	0-0.5			Metals and Explosives
HR-146Q-DEP05	HR-146Q-DEP05-DEP-RK0034-REG	0-0.5			Metals and Explosives
HR-146Q-DEP06	HR-146Q-DEP06-DEP-RK0035-REG	0-0.5			Metals and Explosives

FD - Field duplicate.

MS/MSD - Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate.


QA/QC - Quality assurance/quality control.

REG - Field sample.

SVOC - Semivolatile organic compound.

VOC - Volatile organic compound.

2/9/2004 11:57:45 AM
C:\cadd\Design\796887es.661
ctumlin
STARTING DATE: 01/07/03
DRAWN BY: D. BOMAR
DATE LAST REV.:
DRAWN BY:
DRAFT, CHK. BY:
ENGR. CHK. BY: S. MORAN
INITIATOR: T. WINTON
PROJ. MGR.: J. YACOUB
PROJ. NO.: 796887
DWG. NO.: 796887es.661



LEGEND

- UNIMPROVED ROADS
- PAVED ROADS
- TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS (CONTOUR INTERVAL - 25 FOOT)
- TREES / TREELINE
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- FIRING LINE
- SURFACE DRAINAGE / CREEK
- FENCE
- TRENCH
- BERM
- SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- GROUNDWATER, SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- DEPOSITIONAL SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- CROSS SECTION LOCATION

APPROXIMATE LOCATION OF OBSERVED FEATURES

- ① DEPRESSION
- ② ELECTRICITY SUPPLY
- ③ LARGE HOLE
- ④ TARGET PIT
- ⑤ DISMANTLED HELICOPTER
- ⑥ HALF DRUM, RUSTED
- ⑦ COILS OF RAZOR WIRE
- ⑧ MULTIPLE DEPRESSIONS
- ⑨ WOODEN SIGN
- ⑩ DEEP PIT AND TWO LARGE MOUNDS
- ⑪ SWING-UP TARGET WITH ELECTRICAL CONDUIT
- ⑫ SEVERAL TARGET MOUNDS, ONE DEEP BUNKER, ELECTRICAL CONNECTIONS
- ⑬ POSSIBLE ELECTRICAL CONNECTION FOR POP-UP TARGET CONTROL
- ⑭ STATIONARY TARGET
- ⑮ SHALLOW DEPRESSIONS WITH WOOD DEBRIS
- ⑯ MOUNTAIN BIKE TRAIL SIGN
- ⑰ MULTIPLE PITS AND TRENCHES

FIGURE 3-1
SAMPLE LOCATION MAP
FORMER RANGE 40, PARCEL 94Q
AND RANGE, CHOCOLOCCO
CORRIDOR, PARCEL 146Q

U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
MOBILE DISTRICT
FORT McCLELLAN
CALHOUN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018

organic compound (VOC) analysis was collected directly from the sample device using three EnCore® samplers. The remaining soil was then transferred to a clean stainless-steel bowl, homogenized, and placed in the appropriate sample containers. Sample collection logs are included in Appendix A. The samples were analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 3-2 using methods outlined in Section 3.4.

3.2.2 Subsurface Soil Sampling

Subsurface soil samples were collected from 23 soil borings at Parcels 94Q and 146Q, as shown on Figure 3-1. Subsurface soil sampling locations and rationale are presented in Table 3-1. Sample designations, depths, and analytical parameters are listed in Table 3-2. Soil boring locations were determined in the field by the on-site geologist based on UXO avoidance activities, sampling rationale, presence of surface structures, and site topography.

Sample Collection. Subsurface soil samples were collected from soil borings at depths greater than one foot below ground surface (bgs) in the unsaturated zone. The soil borings were advanced and soil samples collected using a stainless-steel hand auger, following procedures specified in the SAP. Sample collection logs are included in Appendix A. The samples were analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 3-2 using methods outlined in Section 3.4.

Subsurface soil samples were collected continuously to 4 feet bgs or until hand-auger refusal was encountered. Samples were field screened using a PID to measure volatile organic vapors. The sample displaying the highest reading was selected and sent to the laboratory for analysis; however, at those locations where PID readings were below background, the deepest sample interval was submitted for analysis. As necessary, the soil fraction for VOC analysis was collected directly from the sample device using three EnCore samplers. The remaining soil was then transferred to a clean stainless-steel bowl, homogenized, and placed in the appropriate sample containers. The on-site geologist constructed a detailed boring log for each soil boring. The boring logs are included in Appendix B.

3.2.3 Monitoring Well Installation

Four permanent monitoring wells were installed at Parcels 94Q and 146Q to collect groundwater samples for laboratory analysis. The well locations are shown on Figure 3-1. Table 3-3 summarizes construction details of the monitoring wells installed at the site. The well construction logs are included in Appendix B.

Shaw contracted Miller Drilling Company to install the permanent wells using either a hollow-stem auger drill rig (at locations HR-94Q-MW02, HR-146Q-MW01, and HR-146Q-MW02) or

Table 3-3

**Monitoring Well Construction Summary
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama**

Well Location	Northing	Easting	Ground Elevation (ft amsl)	TOC Elevation (ft amsl)	Well Depth (ft bgs)	Screen Length (ft)	Screen Interval (ft bgs)	Well Material
HR-94Q-MW01*	1174529.98	693498.13	904.73	904.66	66	30	36 - 66	2" ID Sch. 40 PVC
HR-94Q-MW02	1174050.39	694532.69	791.11	793.11	55	20	35 - 55	2" ID Sch. 40 PVC
HR-146Q-MW01	1172977.03	693276.13	826.20	826.46	22.5	10	12.5 - 22.5	2" ID Sch. 40 PVC
HR-146Q-MW02	1173957.83	694001.43	825.86	828.17	27	15	12 - 27	2" ID Sch. 40 PVC

Permanent wells installed using hollow-stem auger, except as noted.

* Well installed using air-rotary drilling.

Horizontal coordinates referenced to the U.S. State Plane Coordinate System, Alabama East Zone, North American Datum of 1983.

Elevations referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988.

2" ID Sch. 40 PVC - 2-inch inside diameter, Schedule 40, polyvinyl chloride.

amsl - Above mean sea level.

bgs - Below ground surface.

ft - Feet

an air-rotary drill rig (HR-94Q-MW01). The wells were installed following procedures outlined in the SAP. The boreholes at well locations HR-94Q-MW02, HR-146Q-MW01, and HR-146Q-MW02 were advanced with a 4¼-inch inside diameter (ID) hollow-stem auger from ground surface to the first groundwater-bearing zone in residuum at the well location. Beginning at the completion depth of the hand-auger boring, a 2-foot-long, 2-inch ID carbon steel split-spoon sampler was driven at 5-foot intervals to collect residuum for observing and describing lithology. The samples were logged to determine lithologic changes and the approximate depth of groundwater encountered during drilling. This information was used to determine the optimal placement of the monitoring well screen interval and to provide site-specific geological and hydrogeological information. The borehole at well location HR-94Q-MW01 was advanced with a 7⅞-inch tri-cone roller bit. Drill cuttings from HR-94Q-MW01 were logged to determine lithologic changes. Soil characteristics were described using the “Burmeister Identification System” described in Hunt (1986) and the Unified Soil Classification System as outlined in American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Method D 2488 (ASTM, 2000). The boring logs are included in Appendix B.

Upon reaching the target depth in each borehole, a 10- to 30-foot length of 2-inch ID, 0.010-inch continuous slot, Schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) screen with a PVC end cap was placed through the auger to the bottom of the borehole. The screen and end cap were attached to 2-inch ID, flush-threaded Schedule 40 PVC riser. A filter pack consisting of Number 1 filter sand (environmentally safe, clean fine sand, sieve size 20 to 40) was tremied around the well screen to approximately 5 feet above the top of the well screen as the augers were removed. At well location HR-94Q-MW01, approximately 5 feet of extra fine filter sand (sieve size 30 to 70) was tremied on top of the Number 1 filter sand. A bentonite seal, consisting of approximately 5 feet of bentonite pellets, was placed immediately on top of the filter pack and hydrated with potable water. The bentonite seal placement and hydration followed procedures in the SAP. Bentonite-cement grout was tremied into the remaining annular space of the well from the top of the bentonite seal to ground surface. A locking protective steel casing was placed over the top of the PVC well casing, and a concrete pad was constructed around the wellhead.

The monitoring wells were developed by surging and pumping with a submersible pump in accordance with methodology outlined in the SAP. The submersible pump used for well development was moved in an up-and-down fashion to encourage any residual well installation materials to enter the well. These materials were then pumped out of the well to re-establish the natural hydraulic flow conditions. Development continued for 8 hours or until the well was pumped dry and allowed to recharge three successive times. The well development logs are included in Appendix C.

3.2.4 Water Level Measurements

The depth to groundwater was measured in the permanent wells at the site and in wells at adjacent parcels on October 18, 2002, following procedures outlined in the SAP. Depth to groundwater was measured with an electronic water-level meter. The meter probe and cable were cleaned before use at each well following decontamination methodology presented in the SAP. Measurements were referenced to the top of the PVC well casing, as summarized in Table 3-4.

3.2.5 Groundwater Sampling

A groundwater sample was collected at each of the four monitoring wells installed at Parcels 94Q and 146Q. The well/groundwater sample locations are shown on Figure 3-1. The groundwater sampling locations and rationale are listed in Table 3-1. The groundwater sample designations and analytical parameters are listed in Table 3-5.

Sample Collection. The groundwater samples were collected using either a peristaltic pump or a bladder pump equipped with Teflon™ tubing, following procedures outlined in the SAP. Samples for VOC analysis were collected using a bladder pump. Groundwater was sampled after purging a minimum of three well volumes and after field parameters (temperature, pH, dissolved oxygen, specific conductivity, oxidation-reduction potential, and turbidity) stabilized. Field parameters were measured using a calibrated water-quality meter. Field parameter readings are summarized in Table 3-6. Sample collection logs are included in Appendix A. The samples were analyzed for the parameters listed in Table 3-5 using methods outlined in Section 3.4.

3.3 Surveying of Sample Locations

Sample locations were surveyed using global positioning system and conventional civil survey techniques described in the SAP. Horizontal coordinates were referenced to the U.S. State Plane Coordinate System, Alabama East Zone, North American Datum of 1983. Elevations were referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988. Horizontal coordinates and elevations are included in Appendix D.

3.4 Analytical Program

Samples collected during the SI were analyzed for various chemical parameters based on potential site-specific chemicals and on EPA, ADEM, FTMC, and USACE requirements. Samples collected at Parcels 94Q and 146Q were analyzed for the following parameters using EPA SW-846 methods, including Update III methods where applicable:

Table 3-4

**Groundwater Elevations
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q and Vicinity
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama**

Well Location	Date	Depth to Water (ft BTOC)	Top of Casing Elevation (ft amsl)	Ground Elevation (ft amsl)	Groundwater Elevation (ft amsl)
HR-94Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	23.48	904.66	904.73	881.18
HR-94Q-MW02	18-Oct-02	16.37	793.11	791.11	776.74
HR-146Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	11.49	826.46	826.20	814.97
HR-146Q-MW02	18-Oct-02	23.69	828.17	825.86	804.48
Wells at Adjacent Parcels					
HR-95Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	29.45	840.20	838.16	810.75
HR-95Q-MW02	18-Oct-02	14.49	815.27	813.20	800.78
HR-95Q-MW03	18-Oct-02	19.91	785.74	783.86	765.83
HR-96Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	29.73	837.07	834.96	807.34
HR-131Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	53.62	770.92	768.90	717.30
HR-143Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	35.69	827.98	825.98	792.29
HR-143Q-MW02	18-Oct-02	28.02	827.22	825.14	799.20
HR-144Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	NA	903.99	901.94	NA
HR-145Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	21.70	814.49	812.44	792.79
HR-145Q-MW02	18-Oct-02	10.96	764.11	761.98	753.15
HR-147Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	67.25	842.95	840.87	775.70
HR-147Q-MW02	18-Oct-02	29.36	804.02	801.93	774.66
HR-148Q-MW01	18-Oct-02	24.70	830.94	828.88	806.24

Elevations referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988.

amsl - Above mean sea level.

BTOC - Below top of casing.

ft - Feet.

NA - Not available; well was dry.

Table 3-5

**Groundwater Sample Designations and Analytical Parameters
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama**

Sample Location	Sample Designation	QA/QC Samples		Analytical Parameters
		Field Duplicates	MS/MSD	
HR-94Q-MW01	HR-94Q-MW01-GW-RJ3001-REG			Metals, VOCs, SVOCs, Pesticides, Herbicides, and Explosives
HR-94Q-MW02	HR-94Q-MW02-GW-RJ3003-REG			Metals and Explosives
HR-146Q-MW01	HR-146Q-MW01-GW-RK3001-REG		HR-146Q-MW01-GW-RK3001-MS/MSD	Metals and Explosives
HR-146Q-MW02	HR-146Q-MW02-GW-RK3002-REG			Metals and Explosives

FD - Field duplicate.

MS/MSD - Matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate.

QA/QC - Quality assurance/quality control.

REG - Field sample.

SVOC - Semivolatile organic compound.

VOC - Volatile organic compound.

Table 3-6

**Groundwater Field Parameters
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama**

Sample Location	Sample Date	Specific Conductivity (mS/cm)	Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	ORP (mV)	Temperature (°C)	Turbidity (NTU)	pH (SU)
HR-94Q-MW01	9-Sep-02	0.031	7.08	291	24.1	860	5.99
HR-94Q-MW02	4-Sep-02	0.032	4.52	200	18.7	9.1	5.57
HR-146Q-MW01	28-Aug-02	0.019	5.49	257	21.2	60	5.14
HR-146Q-MW02	29-Aug-02	0.023	2.82	303	18.9	25	4.93

°C - Degrees Celsius.

mg/L - Milligrams per liter.

mS/cm - Millisiemens per centimeter.

mV - Millivolt.

NR - Not recorded.

NTU - Nephelometric turbidity unit.

ORP - Oxidation-reduction potential.

SU - Standard unit.

- Target analyte list metals – EPA Methods 6010B/7470A/7471A
- Nitroaromatic/nitramine explosives – EPA Method 8330.

A minimum of ten percent of the samples were analyzed for the following additional parameters:

- Target compound list (TCL) VOCs – EPA Method 8260B
- TCL semivolatile organic compounds (SVOC) – EPA Method 8270C
- Chlorinated herbicides – EPA Method 8151A
- Chlorinated pesticides – EPA Method 8081A
- Organophosphorous pesticides – EPA Method 8141A.

3.5 Sample Preservation, Packaging, and Shipping

Sample preservation, packaging, and shipping followed requirements specified in the SAP.

Sample containers, sample volumes, preservatives, and holding times for the analyses required in this SI are listed in the SAP. Sample documentation and chain-of-custody records were completed as specified in the SAP.

Completed analysis request and chain-of-custody records (Appendix A) were included with each shipment of sample coolers to EMAX Laboratories, Inc. in Torrance, California.

3.6 Investigation-Derived Waste Management and Disposal

Investigation-derived waste (IDW) was managed and disposed as outlined in the SAP. The IDW generated during the SI at Parcels 94Q and 146Q was segregated as follows:

- Drill cuttings
- Purge water from well development, sampling activities, and decontamination fluids
- Spent well materials and personal protective equipment.

Solid IDW was staged on site in lined roll-off bins prior to waste characterization and final disposal. Solid IDW was characterized using toxicity characteristic leaching procedure analysis. Based on the results, drill cuttings, spent well materials, and personal protective equipment generated during the SI were disposed as nonhazardous waste at the Three Corners Landfill located in Piedmont, Alabama.

Liquid IDW was staged on site pending the results of waste characterization. Liquid IDW was characterized by VOC, SVOC, and metals analyses. Based on the analyses, liquid IDW was discharged as nonhazardous waste to the FTMC wastewater treatment plant on the Main Post.

3.7 Variances/Nonconformances

No variances or nonconformances to the SFSP were recorded during completion of the SI at Parcels 94Q and 146Q.

3.8 Data Quality

The field sample analytical data are presented in tabular form in Appendix E. The field samples were collected, documented, handled, analyzed, and reported in a manner consistent with the SI work plan, the FTMC SAP and quality assurance plan, and standard, accepted methods and procedures. Data were reported and evaluated in accordance with Corps of Engineers South Atlantic Savannah Level B criteria (USACE, 2001b) and the stipulated requirements for the generation of definitive data presented in the SAP. Chemical data were reported by the laboratory via hard-copy data packages using Contract Laboratory Program-like forms.

Data Validation. The reported analytical data were validated in accordance with EPA National Functional Guidelines by Level III criteria. The data validation results are summarized by parcel in quality assurance reports, which include the data validation summary reports (Appendix F). Selected results were qualified based on the implementation of accepted data validation procedures and practices. These qualified parameters are highlighted in the report. The validation-assigned qualifiers were added to the Shaw Environmental Management System database for tracking and reporting. The qualified data were used in comparisons to the SSSLs and ESVs. Rejected data (assigned an "R" qualifier) were not used in the comparisons to the SSSLs and ESVs. The data presented in this report, except where qualified, meet the principle data quality objective for this SI.

4.0 Site Characterization

Subsurface investigations performed at Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q, provided soil, geologic, and groundwater data used to characterize the geology and hydrogeology of the site.

4.1 Regional and Site Geology

4.1.1 Regional Geology

Calhoun County includes parts of two physiographic provinces: the Piedmont Upland Province and the Valley and Ridge Province. The Piedmont Upland Province occupies the extreme eastern and southeastern portions of the county and is characterized by metamorphosed sedimentary rocks. The generally accepted range in age of these metamorphics is Cambrian to Devonian.

The majority of Calhoun County, including the Main Post of FTMC, lies within the Appalachian fold-and-thrust structural belt (Valley and Ridge Province) where southeastward-dipping thrust faults with associated minor folding are the predominant structural features. The fold-and-thrust belt consists of Paleozoic sedimentary rocks that have been asymmetrically folded and thrust-faulted, with major structures and faults striking in a northeast-southwest direction.

Northwestward transport of the Paleozoic rock sequence along the thrust faults has resulted in the imbricate stacking of large slabs of rock referred to as thrust sheets. Within an individual thrust sheet, smaller faults may splay off the larger thrust fault, resulting in imbricate stacking of rock units within an individual thrust sheet (Osborne and Szabo, 1984). Geologic contacts in this region generally strike parallel to the faults, and repetition of lithologic units is common in vertical sequences. Geologic formations within the Valley and Ridge Province portion of Calhoun County have been mapped by Warman and Causey (1962), Osborne and Szabo (1984), and Moser and DeJarnette (1992) and vary in age from Lower Cambrian to Pennsylvanian.

The basal unit of the sedimentary sequence in Calhoun County is the Cambrian Chilhowee Group. The Chilhowee Group consists of the Cochran, Nichols, Wilson Ridge, and Weisner Formations (Osborne and Szabo, 1984), but in Calhoun County it is either undifferentiated or divided into the Cochran and Nichols Formations and an upper, undifferentiated Wilson Ridge and Weisner Formation. The Cochran is composed of poorly sorted arkosic sandstone and conglomerate with interbeds of greenish gray siltstone and mudstone. Massive to laminated

greenish gray and black mudstone makes up the Nichols Formation, with thin interbeds of siltstone and very fine-grained sandstone (Osborne et al., 1988). These two formations are mapped only in the eastern part of the county.

The Wilson Ridge and Weisner Formations are undifferentiated in Calhoun County and consist of both coarse-grained and fine-grained clastics. The coarse-grained facies appears to dominate the unit and consists primarily of coarse-grained, vitreous quartzite and friable, fine- to coarse-grained, orthoquartzitic sandstone, both of which locally contain conglomerate. The fine-grained facies consists of sandy and micaceous shale and silty, micaceous mudstone, which are locally interbedded with the coarse clastic rocks. The abundance of orthoquartzitic sandstone and quartzite suggests that most of the Chilhowee Group bedrock in the vicinity of FTMC belongs to the Weisner Formation (Osborne and Szabo, 1984).

The Cambrian Shady Dolomite overlies the Weisner Formation northeast, east, and southwest of the Main Post and consists of interlayered bluish gray or pale yellowish gray sandy dolomitic limestone and siliceous dolomite with coarsely crystalline, porous chert (Osborne et al., 1989). A variegated shale and clayey silt have been included within the lower part of the Shady Dolomite (Cloud, 1966). Material similar to this lower shale unit was noted in core holes drilled by the Alabama Geologic Survey on FTMC (Osborne and Szabo, 1984). The character of the Shady Dolomite in the FTMC vicinity and the true assignment of the shale at this stratigraphic interval are still uncertain (Osborne, 1999).

The Rome Formation overlies the Shady Dolomite and locally occurs to the northwest and southeast of the Main Post, as mapped by Warman and Causey (1962) and Osborne and Szabo (1984), and immediately to the west of Reilly Airfield (Osborne and Szabo, 1984). The Rome Formation consists of variegated, thinly interbedded grayish red-purple mudstone, shale, siltstone, and greenish red and light gray sandstone, with locally occurring limestone and dolomite. Weaver Cave, located approximately one mile west of the northwest boundary of the Main Post, is situated in gray dolomite and limestone mapped as the Rome Formation (Osborne et al., 1997). The Conasauga Formation overlies the Rome Formation and occurs along anticlinal axes in the northeastern portion of Pelham Range (Warman and Causey, 1962; Osborne and Szabo, 1984) and the northern portion of the Main Post (Osborne et al., 1997). The Conasauga Formation is composed of dark gray, finely to coarsely crystalline, medium- to thick-bedded dolomite with minor shale and chert (Osborne et al., 1989).

Overlying the Conasauga Formation is the Knox Group, which is composed of the Copper Ridge and Chepultepec dolomites of Cambro-Ordovician age. The Knox Group is undifferentiated in

Calhoun County and consists of light medium gray, fine to medium crystalline, variably bedded to laminated, siliceous dolomite and dolomitic limestone that weather to a chert residuum (Osborne and Szabo, 1984). The Knox Group underlies a large portion of the Pelham Range area.

The Ordovician Newala and Little Oak Limestones overlie the Knox Group. The Newala Limestone consists of light to dark gray, micritic, thick-bedded limestone with minor dolomite. The Little Oak Limestone is comprised of dark gray, medium- to thick-bedded, fossiliferous, argillaceous to silty limestone with chert nodules. These limestone units are mapped as undifferentiated at FTMC and in other parts of Calhoun County. The Athens Shale overlies the Ordovician limestone units. The Athens Shale consists of dark gray to black shale and graptolitic shale with localized interbedded dark gray limestone (Osborne et al., 1989). These units occur within an eroded “window” in the uppermost structural thrust sheet at FTMC and underlie much of the developed area of the Main Post.

Other Ordovician-aged bedrock units mapped in Calhoun County include the Greensport Formation, Colvin Mountain Sandstone, and Sequatchie Formation. These units consist of various siltstones, sandstones, shales, dolomites, and limestones and are mapped as one, undifferentiated unit in some areas of Calhoun County. The only Silurian-age sedimentary formation mapped in Calhoun County is the Red Mountain Formation. This unit consists of interbedded red sandstone, siltstone, and shale with greenish gray to red silty and sandy limestone.

The Devonian Frog Mountain Sandstone consists of sandstone and quartzitic sandstone with shale interbeds, dolomudstone, and glauconitic limestone (Osborne, et al., 1988). This unit locally occurs in the western portion of Pelham Range.

The Mississippian Fort Payne Chert and the Maury Formation overlie the Frog Mountain Sandstone and are composed of dark to light gray limestone with abundant chert nodules and greenish gray to grayish red phosphatic shale, with increasing amounts of calcareous chert towards the upper portion of the formation (Osborne and Szabo, 1984). These units occur in the northwestern portion of Pelham Range. Overlying the Fort Payne Chert is the Floyd Shale, also of Mississippian age, which consists of thin-bedded, fissile brown to black shale with thin intercalated limestone layers and interbedded sandstone. Osborne and Szabo (1984) reassigned the Floyd Shale, which was mapped by Warman and Causey (1962) on the Main Post of FTMC, to the Ordovician Athens Shale based on fossil data.

The Pennsylvanian Parkwood Formation overlies the Floyd Shale and consists of a medium to dark gray, silty clay, shale, and mudstone with interbedded light to medium gray, very fine to fine grained, argillaceous, micaceous sandstone. Locally the Parkwood Formation also contains beds of medium to dark gray, argillaceous, bioclastic to cherty limestone and beds of clayey coal up to a few inches thick (Raymond et al., 1988). The Parkwood Formation in Calhoun County is generally found within a structurally complex area known as the Coosa deformed belt. In the deformed belt, the Parkwood Formation and Floyd Shale are mapped as undifferentiated because their lithologic similarity and significant deformation make it impractical to map the contact (Thomas and Drahovzal, 1974; Osborne et al., 1988). The undifferentiated Parkwood Formation and Floyd Shale are found throughout the western quarter of Pelham Range.

The Jacksonville thrust fault is the most significant structural geological feature in the vicinity of the Main Post of FTMC, both for its role in determining the stratigraphic relationships in the area and for its contribution to regional water supplies. The trace of the fault extends northeastward for approximately 39 miles between Bynum, Alabama, and Piedmont, Alabama. The fault is interpreted as a major splay of the Pell City fault (Osborne and Szabo, 1984). The Ordovician sequence that makes up the Eden thrust sheet is exposed at FTMC through an eroded window, or fenster, in the overlying thrust sheet. Rocks within the window display complex folding, with the folds being overturned and tight to isoclinal. The carbonates and shales locally exhibit well-developed cleavage (Osborne and Szabo, 1984). The FTMC window is framed on the northwest by the Rome Formation; north by the Conasauga Formation; northeast, east, and southwest by the Shady Dolomite; and southeast and southwest by the Chilhowee Group (Osborne et al., 1997). Two small klippen of the Shady Dolomite, bounded by the Jacksonville fault, have been recognized adjacent to the Pell City fault at the FTMC window (Osborne et al., 1997).

The Pell City fault serves as a fault contact between the bedrock within the FTMC window and the Rome and Conasauga Formations. The trace of the Pell City fault is also exposed approximately nine miles west of the FTMC window on Pelham Range, where it traverses northeast to southwest across the western quarter of Pelham Range. Here, the trace of the Pell City fault marks the boundary between the Pell City thrust sheet and the Coosa deformed belt.

The eastern three-quarters of Pelham Range is located within the Pell City thrust sheet, while the remaining western quarter of Pelham Range is located within the Coosa deformed belt. The Pell City thrust sheet is a large-scale thrust sheet containing Cambrian and Ordovician rocks and is relatively less structurally complex than the Coosa deformed belt (Thomas and Neathery, 1982).

The Pell City thrust sheet is exposed between the traces of the Jacksonville and Pell City faults along the western boundary of the FTMC window and along the trace of the Pell City fault on Pelham Range (Thomas and Neathery, 1982; Osborne et al., 1988). The Coosa deformed belt is a narrow northeast-to-southwest-trending linear zone of complex structure (approximately 5 to 20 miles wide and approximately 90 miles in length) consisting mainly of thin imbricate thrust slices. The structure within these imbricate thrust slices is often internally complicated by small-scale folding and additional thrust faults (Thomas and Drahovzal, 1974).

4.1.2 Site Geology

Soils at Parcels 94Q and 146Q fall mainly into four mapping units: Stony Rough Land sandstone (Ss) (U.S. Department of Agriculture [USDA], 1961) in the extreme northwest region; Anniston and Allen stony loams also in the northwestern region; Anniston and Allen gravelly loams in the central portion of the region; and Anniston gravelly clay loam in the extreme eastern portion of the ranges.

Stony Rough Land sandstone (Ss) consists of well-drained, shallow or stony, friable, medium to strongly acidic soils. Slopes generally are more than 25 percent. Erosion has been slight to severe, and some of the slopes have lost all of their original surface soil. The soil material is generally shallow over bedrock. Runoff is high, permeability is moderate to rapid, infiltration is slow, and the capacity for available moisture is low. The depth to bedrock is typically less than 2.5 feet, with depth to water exceeding 20 feet bgs (USDA, 1961).

The Anniston and Allen Series of soils consists of strongly acidic, deep, well-drained soils that have developed in old local alluvium. The parent material washed from the adjacent higher-lying Linker, Muskingum, Enders, and Montevallo soils, which developed from weathered sandstone, shale, and quartzite. Sandstone and quartzite gravel and cobbles, measuring as much as 8 inches in diameter, are common throughout the soil. For this soil series, the depth to bedrock is typically from 2 feet to greater than 10 feet, with depth to water greater than 20 feet. Some severely eroded areas may be common on the surface for this soil type as well as a few shallow gullies. The typical soil description is 2 to 10 feet of well-drained stony loam to clay loam over stratified local alluvium; limestone or shale bedrock (USDA, 1961).

Anniston and Allen stony loams, 10 to 25 percent slopes (AdE), consist of a very dark brown to very dark grayish-brown stony loam surface layer 4 to 8 inches thick. At a depth of about 10 inches, this material grades into a dark red or dark reddish brown stony fine sandy clay loam. These soils are not well suited to cultivation due to the stoniness and strong slopes, and therefore most of the acreage is woodland (USDA, 1961).


Anniston and Allen gravelly loams include 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded (AcB2) and 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded (AcC2). They consist of friable soils that have developed in old alluvium on foot slopes and along the base of mountains. The color of the surface soil ranges from very dark brown and dark brown to reddish brown and dark reddish brown. The texture of subsoil ranges from light clay loam to clay or silty clay loam. Infiltration and runoff are medium, permeability is moderate, and the capacity for available moisture is high. The physical properties of this unit make it suitable for cultivation, but erosion is a possibility because of the strong slopes (USDA, 1961).

Anniston gravelly clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, severely eroded (AbB3) consists of a reddish brown gravelly clay loam layer 4 to 6 inches thick, underlain in most places by red or dark reddish brown gravelly clay loam. These soils have poor tilth, moderately slow infiltration, and a low capacity to hold moisture. Due to these characteristics and the gravel content, the agricultural capability of the soil is limited (USDA, 1961).

Bedrock beneath Parcels 94Q and 146Q is mapped as the undifferentiated Chilhowee Group in the extreme northeast area, Shady Dolomite in the eastern central area, and Rome Formation in the rest of the area of investigation. The undifferentiated Chilhowee Group consists of a basal unit of arkosic conglomerate and mudstone overlain by a unit of greenish gray mudstone with minor siltstone and sandstone. The sequences grades upward into a white to moderate reddish orange friable sandstone and conglomerate containing interbedded gray silty mudstone (Raymond et al., 1988). The undifferentiated Chilhowee Group is overlain by the Shady Dolomite. The Shady Dolomite is typically bluish gray thick bedded, medium crystalline limestone and light to dark gray, argillaceous to sandy, massive to laminated dolomite with a local unit of silty clay and clayey siltstone at the base (Raymond et al., 1988). The Shady Dolomite is overlain by the Rome Formation to the east. The Rome Formation consists of variegated thinly interbedded grayish-red-purple mudstone, shale, siltstone, and greenish-red and light gray sandstone, with locally occurring limestone and dolomite (Raymond et al., 1988).

A geologic cross section was constructed using the hollow-stem auger boring data and is shown on Figure 4-1. The cross section location is shown on Figure 3-1. The residuum encountered during drilling activities was typically described as light brown to yellowish orange clay and silt with some sand and some gravel (sandstone and quartzite) or light brown to yellowish orange fine to medium sand and silt with some clay and little gravel (also sandstone and quartzite). Hollow-stem auger refusal was encountered at depths of 22.5 and 27 feet bgs at HR-146Q-

ctumlin
C:\cadd\Design\796887es.688
2/9/2004 9:02:13 AM
STARTING DATE: 02/27/03
DRAWN BY: D. BOWAR
DATE LAST REV.:
DRAFT, CHK, BY:
ENGR, CHK, BY: S. MORAN
INITIATOR: C. LEVAAS
PROJ. MGR.: J. YACOB
C:\cadd\Design\796887es.688
PROJ. NO.: 796887



LEGEND

- SCREEN INTERVAL
- WATER TABLE (OCTOBER 18, 2002)
- 881.18 GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (FT MSL)
- - ? - - CONTACT DASHED WHERE INFERRED
- SILT AND SAND, LITTLE CLAY, LITTLE GRAVEL
- GRAVEL AND CLAY, LITTLE SILT
- CLAY, SOME SAND, LITTLE SILT, LITTLE GRAVEL
- CLAY AND SILT, LITTLE SAND, LITTLE GRAVEL

NOTES:

- ELEVATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO THE NORTH AMERICAN VERTICAL DATUM OF 1988.
- DASHED WHERE INFERRED.
- SEE FIGURE 3-1 FOR CROSS SECTION LOCATION.




FIGURE 4-1
GEOLOGIC CROSS SECTION A-A'
FORMER RANGE 40, PARCEL 94Q
AND RANGE, CHOCCOLOCCO
CORRIDOR, PARCEL 146Q

U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
MOBILE DISTRICT
FORT McCLELLAN
CALHOUN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018

MW01 and HR-146Q-MW02, respectively. The soils encountered prior to refusal were described as light brown to yellowish orange clay and sand with little quartzite gravel.

4.2 Site Hydrology


4.2.1 Surface Hydrology

Precipitation in the form of rainfall averages about 53 inches annually in Anniston, Alabama, with infiltration rates annually exceeding evapotranspiration rates (U.S. Department of Commerce, 1998). The major surface water feature in the Choccolocco Corridor is Choccolocco Creek, which flows south through the central portion of the corridor. Choccolocco Creek and its tributaries drain all of Choccolocco Corridor and ultimately empty into the Coosa River.

Ground elevation within the area of investigation ranges from approximately 750 to 1,000 feet above mean sea level. Surface water runoff in the area of investigation drains generally to the central area into intermittent streams that flow to the southeast.

4.2.2 Hydrogeology

Static groundwater levels were measured in monitoring wells at Parcels 94Q and 146Q and in wells at adjacent parcels on October 18, 2002, as summarized in Table 3-4. Groundwater elevations were calculated by measuring the depth to groundwater relative to the surveyed top-of-casing elevations. A groundwater flow map was constructed using the October 18, 2002 data, as shown on Figure 4-2. Based on these water level data, groundwater elevations correspond with topography and flow direction is generally to the east-southeast across the parcels.



- LEGEND:**
- UNIMPROVED ROADS AND PARKING
 - PAVED ROADS AND PARKING
 - BUILDING
 - TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS (CONTOUR INTERVAL - 2.5 FOOT)
 - GROUNDWATER ELEVATION CONTOUR (DASHED WHERE INFERRED)
 - (755.71) GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (FT MSL) (OCTOBER 18, 2002)
 - G.W. FLOW GROUNDWATER FLOW DIRECTION
 - TREES / TREELINE
 - PARCEL BOUNDARY
 - INVESTIGATED BOUNDARY
 - SURFACE DRAINAGE / CREEK
 - TRENCH
 - BERM
 - FENCE
 - MONITORING WELL / GROUNDWATER, SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION

FIGURE 4-2
GROUNDWATER ELEVATION MAP
CHOCOLOCOS RANGES,
CHOCOLOCOS CORRIDOR

U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
MOBILE DISTRICT
FORT McLELLAN
CALHOUN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018

SCALE
0 300 600 FEET

Shaw Shaw Environmental, Inc.

5.0 Summary of Analytical Results

The results of the chemical analysis of samples collected at Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q, indicate that metals, VOCs, and pesticides were detected in site media. SVOCs, herbicides, and explosive compounds were not detected in any of the samples. To evaluate whether the detected constituents present an unacceptable risk to human health and the environment, the analytical results were compared to the human health SSSLs and ESVs for FTMC. The SSSLs and ESVs were developed for human health and ecological risk evaluations as part of the ongoing SIs being performed under the BRAC Environmental Restoration Program at FTMC.

Metals concentrations exceeding the SSSLs and ESVs were subsequently compared to metals background screening values to determine if the metals concentrations are within natural background concentrations (Science Applications International Corporation, 1998).

The following sections and Tables 5-1 through 5-3 summarize the results of the comparison of detected constituent concentrations to the SSSLs, ESVs, and background screening values. Complete analytical results are presented in Appendix E.

5.1 Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results

Twenty-five surface soil samples and six depositional soil samples were collected for chemical analysis at Parcels 94Q and 146Q. Surface soil samples were collected from the uppermost foot of soil, and depositional soil samples were collected from the upper six inches of soil at the locations shown on Figure 3-1. Analytical results were compared to residential human health SSSLs, ESVs, and metals background screening values, as presented in Table 5-1.

Metals. A total of 22 metals were detected in the surface and depositional soil samples. The concentrations of nine metals (aluminum, antimony, arsenic, barium, chromium, iron, lead, manganese, and thallium) exceeded their respective SSSLs. Of these, aluminum, antimony, barium, iron, lead, manganese, and thallium also exceeded their respective background values in one or more samples:

- Aluminum (16,700 to 32,500 milligrams per kilogram [mg/kg]) exceeded its SSSL (7,803 mg/kg) and background (16,306 mg/kg) at ten sample locations.
- Antimony (10.9 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (3.11 mg/kg) and background (1.99 mg/kg) at one sample location. The antimony result was flagged with a “J” data

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 1 of 9)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)					HR-146Q-DEP01 RK0029 17-Jul-02 0- 0.5					HR-146Q-DEP02 RK0030 17-Jul-02 0- 0.5					HR-146Q-DEP03 RK0032 17-Jul-02 0- 0.5					HR-146Q-DEP04 RK0033 17-Jul-02 0- 0.5				
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS																								
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.63E+04	7.80E+03	5.00E+01	7.63E+03				YES	1.14E+04			YES	YES	1.34E+04			YES	YES	2.35E+04		YES	YES	YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.99E+00	3.11E+00	3.50E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.37E+01	4.26E-01	1.00E+01	4.32E+00		YES			1.01E+01			YES	YES	3.71E+00			YES		4.10E+00			YES	
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	9.59E+01					2.29E+03	J	YES	YES	YES	1.66E+02		YES		YES	1.33E+02		YES		
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00	1.10E+00	7.13E-01	J				2.66E+00	J	YES		YES	8.76E-01	J	YES			1.35E+00		YES		YES
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.90E-01	6.25E+00	1.60E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.72E+03	NA	NA	2.58E+02					1.66E+02					4.86E+02					1.72E+02				
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	1.51E+01			YES		2.72E+01	J		YES	YES	1.22E+01				YES	1.52E+01				YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.52E+01	4.68E+02	2.00E+01	4.15E+00					9.63E+01	J	YES		YES	5.31E+00					3.56E+00				
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	5.11E+00					3.93E+01		YES			2.23E+01		YES			1.05E+01				
Iron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	2.27E+04		YES	YES		6.68E+04		YES	YES	YES	1.61E+04			YES	YES	1.35E+04			YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	1.67E+01					1.25E+02	J	YES		YES	5.32E+01		YES		YES	3.11E+01				
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	4.00E+02					3.38E+02					5.92E+02					9.13E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	3.78E+02		YES	YES		1.05E+04	J	YES	YES	YES	9.39E+02			YES	YES	1.90E+02				YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	4.28E-02	J				ND					5.34E-02	J				6.51E-02	J			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	4.39E+00					2.11E+01	J	YES			6.32E+00					1.06E+01		YES		
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	5.10E+02	J				9.42E+02		YES			7.20E+02					9.92E+02		YES		
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	1.12E+00	J	YES		YES	3.79E+00	J	YES		YES	7.03E-01	J	YES			1.27E+00		YES		YES
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	NA	NA	ND					ND					2.70E+01	J				3.60E+01	J			
Thallium	mg/kg	3.43E+00	5.08E-01	1.00E+00	9.16E-01	J		YES		5.68E+00	J	YES	YES	YES	ND					ND				
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	1.71E+01				YES	4.43E+01	J			YES	1.68E+01				YES	2.53E+01				YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	1.20E+01	J				4.93E+01	J	YES			1.91E+01	J				2.86E+01	J			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																								
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	2.50E+00	NR					NR					NR					NR				
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA	NR					NR					NR					NR				
PESTICIDES																								
4,4'-DDD	mg/kg	NA	2.54E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	5.00E-04	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+01	1.19E-01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endrin	mg/kg	NA	2.32E+00	1.00E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	NA	2.32E-01	1.05E-02	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Heptachlor	mg/kg	NA	1.40E-01	1.00E-01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	NA	3.89E+01	1.99E-02	NR					NR					NR					NR				
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	NA	1.00E-01	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
delta-BHC	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+00	9.94E+00	NR					NR					NR					NR				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 2 of 9)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)					HR-146Q-DEP05 RK0034 17-Jul-02 0- 0.5					HR-146Q-DEP06 RK0035 17-Jul-02 0- 0.5					HR-146Q-GP01 RK0001 1-Aug-02 0- 1					HR-146Q-GP02 RK0003 6-Aug-02 0- 1				
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS																								
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.63E+04	7.80E+03	5.00E+01	2.30E+04		YES	YES	YES	3.25E+04		YES	YES	YES	8.97E+03			YES	YES	1.31E+04			YES	YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.99E+00	3.11E+00	3.50E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.37E+01	4.26E-01	1.00E+01	3.44E+00			YES		5.97E+00			YES		4.53E+00			YES		7.50E+00			YES	
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	8.79E+01					9.71E+01					2.07E+02	J	YES		YES	1.11E+02	J			
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00	1.10E+00	4.65E-01	J				5.56E-01	J				9.80E-01	J	YES			9.12E-01	J	YES		
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.90E-01	6.25E+00	1.60E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.72E+03	NA	NA	3.25E+02					2.94E+02					3.57E+02					2.82E+02				
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	2.53E+01			YES	YES	2.56E+01			YES	YES	1.12E+01				YES	1.80E+01	J			YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.52E+01	4.68E+02	2.00E+01	6.50E+00					4.21E+00					2.71E+01	J	YES		YES	7.61E+00				
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	9.54E+00					2.27E+01		YES			3.82E+01		YES			2.12E+02		YES		YES
Iron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	1.40E+04			YES	YES	2.46E+04			YES	YES	2.32E+04			YES	YES	4.05E+04		YES	YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	2.08E+01					1.45E+01					1.42E+02		YES		YES	1.08E+03		YES	YES	YES
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	8.68E+02					8.44E+02					3.51E+02					4.71E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	6.26E+02			YES	YES	2.20E+02				YES	2.58E+03		YES	YES	YES	5.80E+02	J		YES	YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	5.00E-02	J				6.98E-02	J				6.86E-02	J				9.85E-02	J	YES		
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	9.18E+00					1.17E+01		YES			1.05E+01		YES			1.26E+01		YES		
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	7.53E+02					1.18E+03		YES			4.21E+02	J				6.31E+02				
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	1.05E+00	J	YES		YES	7.98E-01	J	YES			1.52E+00	B	YES		YES	1.47E+00		YES		YES
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	NA	NA	2.75E+01	J				2.96E+01	J				2.23E+01	B				2.60E+01	J			
Thallium	mg/kg	3.43E+00	5.08E-01	1.00E+00	ND					ND					ND					1.65E+00	J		YES	YES
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	2.57E+01				YES	4.17E+01				YES	1.67E+01				YES	4.07E+01				YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	3.35E+01	J				3.77E+01	J				3.03E+01					6.86E+01	J	YES		YES
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																								
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	2.50E+00	NR					NR					NR					NR				
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA	NR					NR					NR					NR				
PESTICIDES																								
4,4'-DDD	mg/kg	NA	2.54E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	5.00E-04	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+01	1.19E-01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endrin	mg/kg	NA	2.32E+00	1.00E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	NA	2.32E-01	1.05E-02	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Heptachlor	mg/kg	NA	1.40E-01	1.00E-01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	NA	3.89E+01	1.99E-02	NR					NR					NR					NR				
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	NA	1.00E-01	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
delta-BHC	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+00	9.94E+00	NR					NR					NR					NR				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 3 of 9)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)					HR-146Q-GP03 RK0005 1-Aug-02 0-1					HR-146Q-GP04 RK0007 1-Aug-02 0-1					HR-146Q-GP05 RK0010 1-Aug-02 0-1					HR-146Q-GP06 RK0012 6-Aug-02 0-1				
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS																								
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.63E+04	7.80E+03	5.00E+01	7.98E+03			YES	YES	1.02E+04			YES	YES	1.67E+04		YES	YES	YES	1.01E+04			YES	YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.99E+00	3.11E+00	3.50E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.37E+01	4.26E-01	1.00E+01	2.37E+00			YES		4.51E+00			YES		5.64E+00			YES		4.27E+00			YES	
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	5.24E+01	J				8.36E+01	J				9.06E+01	J				1.97E+02	J	YES		YES
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00	1.10E+00	ND					5.90E-01	J				6.93E-01	J				1.55E+00		YES		YES
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.90E-01	6.25E+00	1.60E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.72E+03	NA	NA	1.04E+02	J				3.17E+02					1.97E+02					2.83E+02				
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	5.98E+00				YES	1.10E+01				YES	1.31E+01				YES	1.32E+01	J			YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.52E+01	4.68E+02	2.00E+01	2.80E+00	J				9.62E+00	J				9.93E+00	J				9.32E+00				
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	6.77E+00					4.07E+01		YES		YES	1.39E+01		YES			1.90E+01		YES		
Iron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	1.08E+04			YES	YES	2.32E+04			YES	YES	2.26E+04			YES	YES	1.68E+04			YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	3.45E+01					7.53E+02		YES	YES	YES	8.46E+01		YES		YES	3.98E+02		YES		YES
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	2.66E+02					3.70E+02					4.16E+02					4.11E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	2.05E+02				YES	6.64E+02			YES	YES	1.84E+03		YES	YES	YES	1.23E+03	J		YES	YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	3.60E-02	J				5.45E-02	J				7.89E+00					4.68E+00				
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	2.61E+00					5.47E+00					7.89E+00					4.68E+00				
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	4.27E+02	J				5.73E+02					3.12E+02	J				4.70E+02	J			
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	9.67E-01	B	YES		YES	1.35E+00	B	YES		YES	1.31E+00	B	YES		YES	8.19E-01	J	YES		YES
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	NA	NA	ND					2.20E+01	B				2.27E+01	B				3.30E+01	J			
Thallium	mg/kg	3.43E+00	5.08E-01	1.00E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	9.94E+00				YES	1.62E+01				YES	2.58E+01				YES	1.44E+01				YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	1.42E+01					3.64E+01					2.27E+01					2.26E+01	J			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																								
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	NR					1.20E-02	J				NR					NR				
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	2.50E+00	NR					2.60E-01	J				NR					NR				
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA	NR					1.70E-03	J				NR					NR				
PESTICIDES																								
4,4'-DDD	mg/kg	NA	2.54E+00	2.50E-03	NR					1.90E-03	J				NR					NR				
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	2.50E-03	NR					2.70E-03	J			YES	NR					NR				
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	5.00E-04	NR					ND					NR					NR				
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+01	1.19E-01	NR					ND					NR					NR				
Endrin	mg/kg	NA	2.32E+00	1.00E-03	NR					3.50E-03	J			YES	NR					NR				
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	NA	2.32E-01	1.05E-02	NR					ND					NR					NR				
Heptachlor	mg/kg	NA	1.40E-01	1.00E-01	NR					ND					NR					NR				
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	NA	3.89E+01	1.99E-02	NR					ND					NR					NR				
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	NA	1.00E-01	2.50E-03	NR					1.80E-03	J				NR					NR				
delta-BHC	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+00	9.94E+00	NR					ND					NR					NR				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 4 of 9)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)					HR-146Q-GP07 RK0014 6-Aug-02 0-1					HR-146Q-GP08 RK0016 6-Aug-02 0-1					HR-146Q-GP09 RK0018 31-Jul-02 0-1					HR-146Q-GP10 RK0020 31-Jul-02 0-1				
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS																								
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.63E+04	7.80E+03	5.00E+01	2.35E+04		YES	YES	YES	1.73E+04		YES	YES	YES	1.27E+04			YES	YES	9.46E+03			YES	YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.99E+00	3.11E+00	3.50E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.37E+01	4.26E-01	1.00E+01	9.05E+00			YES		3.48E+00			YES		3.36E+00			YES		2.80E+00			YES	
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	8.73E+01	J				9.36E+01	J				7.35E+01	J				9.46E+01	J			
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00	1.10E+00	9.41E-01	J	YES			1.03E+00	J	YES			5.25E-01	J				4.25E-01	J			
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.90E-01	6.25E+00	1.60E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.72E+03	NA	NA	2.95E+02					9.02E+01	J				1.13E+02	J				2.15E+02				
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	2.17E+01	J			YES	1.27E+01	J			YES	1.34E+01			YES		7.78E+00				YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.52E+01	4.68E+02	2.00E+01	9.21E+00					4.77E+00					4.19E+00	J				4.15E+00	J			
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	1.54E+01		YES			1.48E+01		YES			7.69E+00					8.67E+00				
Iron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	3.84E+04		YES	YES	YES	1.72E+04			YES	YES	9.90E+03			YES	YES	8.81E+03			YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	6.91E+01		YES		YES	6.11E+01		YES		YES	6.10E+01		YES		YES	1.55E+02		YES		YES
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	6.90E+02					4.81E+02					4.51E+02					2.58E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	1.39E+03	J		YES	YES	2.21E+02	J			YES	4.43E+02			YES	YES	5.94E+02			YES	YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	1.28E-01		YES		YES	1.10E-01	J	YES			3.86E-02	J				7.59E-02	J			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	1.21E+01		YES			5.70E+00					3.89E+00					2.55E+00				
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	6.02E+02					6.32E+02					3.48E+02	J				3.24E+02	J			
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	1.57E+00		YES		YES	1.01E+00	J	YES		YES	1.18E+00	B	YES		YES	9.38E-01	B	YES		YES
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	NA	NA	2.60E+01	J				3.17E+01	J				ND					ND				
Thallium	mg/kg	3.43E+00	5.08E-01	1.00E+00	1.00E+00	J		YES	YES	ND					ND					ND				
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	4.06E+01				YES	2.25E+01				YES	1.85E+01				YES	1.37E+01				YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	4.78E+01	J	YES			2.02E+01	J				2.15E+01					1.68E+01				
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																								
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	NR					NR					NR					3.10E-02				
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	2.50E+00	NR					NR					NR					5.40E-01	J			
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA	NR					NR					NR					ND				
PESTICIDES																								
4,4'-DDD	mg/kg	NA	2.54E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					ND				
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					ND				
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	5.00E-04	NR					NR					NR					1.70E-03	J			YES
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+01	1.19E-01	NR					NR					NR					ND				
Endrin	mg/kg	NA	2.32E+00	1.00E-03	NR					NR					NR					3.60E-03	J	NR		YES
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	NA	2.32E-01	1.05E-02	NR					NR					NR					ND				
Heptachlor	mg/kg	NA	1.40E-01	1.00E-01	NR					NR					NR					ND				
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	NA	3.89E+01	1.99E-02	NR					NR					NR					3.30E-03	J			
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	NA	1.00E-01	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					ND				
delta-BHC	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+00	9.94E+00	NR					NR					NR					ND				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 5 of 9)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)					HR-146Q-GP11 RK0023 5-Aug-02 0-1					HR-146Q-MW01 RK0025 1-Aug-02 0-1					HR-146Q-MW02 RK0027 31-Jul-02 0-1					HR-94Q-GP01 RJ0001 5-Aug-02 0-1				
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS																								
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.63E+04	7.80E+03	5.00E+01	1.15E+04			YES	YES	1.68E+04		YES	YES	YES	1.21E+04			YES	YES	6.87E+03				YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.99E+00	3.11E+00	3.50E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.37E+01	4.26E-01	1.00E+01	2.19E+00			YES		5.50E+00			YES		2.44E+00			YES		4.00E+00			YES	
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	6.51E+01	J				1.67E+02	J	YES		YES	7.63E+01	J				7.51E+01	J			
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00	1.10E+00	4.03E-01	J				1.19E+00	J	YES		YES	6.17E-01	J				4.21E-01	J			
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.90E-01	6.25E+00	1.60E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.72E+03	NA	NA	1.44E+02					2.33E+02					1.09E+02	J				2.72E+02				
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	1.01E+01	J			YES	1.15E+01				YES	8.85E+00				YES	8.31E+00				YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.52E+01	4.68E+02	2.00E+01	3.98E+00					1.03E+01	J				7.79E+00	J				5.06E+00				
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	6.90E+00					9.67E+00					7.01E+00					1.00E+01				
Iron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	1.08E+04			YES	YES	2.12E+04			YES	YES	1.24E+04			YES	YES	1.47E+04			YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	2.67E+01					2.10E+01					3.00E+01					3.67E+01				
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	3.78E+02					4.14E+02					3.91E+02					2.01E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	3.69E+02	J		YES	YES	3.74E+03		YES	YES	YES	8.73E+02			YES	YES	2.78E+02	J			YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	5.39E-02	J				4.50E-02	J				5.04E-02	J				6.55E-02	J			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	2.97E+00	B				9.08E+00					6.10E+00					3.56E+00				
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	3.52E+02	J				4.83E+02	J				2.94E+02	J				1.40E+02	B			
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	6.26E-01	J	YES			1.46E+00	B	YES		YES	1.13E+00	B	YES		YES	8.28E-01	B	YES		YES
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	NA	NA	2.40E+01	J				ND					ND					ND				
Thallium	mg/kg	3.43E+00	5.08E-01	1.00E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	1.56E+01				YES	2.51E+01				YES	1.38E+01				YES	1.12E+01				YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	1.42E+01	J				1.90E+01					1.54E+01					1.16E+01				
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																								
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	2.50E+00	NR					NR					NR					NR				
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA	NR					NR					NR					NR				
PESTICIDES																								
4,4'-DDD	mg/kg	NA	2.54E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	5.00E-04	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+01	1.19E-01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endrin	mg/kg	NA	2.32E+00	1.00E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	NA	2.32E-01	1.05E-02	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Heptachlor	mg/kg	NA	1.40E-01	1.00E-01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	NA	3.89E+01	1.99E-02	NR					NR					NR					NR				
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	NA	1.00E-01	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
delta-BHC	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+00	9.94E+00	NR					NR					NR					NR				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 6 of 9)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)					HR-94Q-GP02 RJ0003 1-Aug-02 0-1					HR-94Q-GP03 RJ0004 30-Jul-02 0-1					HR-94Q-GP04 RJ0006 30-Jul-02 0-1					HR-94Q-GP05 RJ0009 31-Jul-02 0-1				
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS																								
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.63E+04	7.80E+03	5.00E+01	1.02E+04			YES	YES	2.88E+04		YES	YES	YES	2.70E+04		YES	YES	YES	7.65E+03				YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.99E+00	3.11E+00	3.50E+00	1.09E+01	J	YES	YES	YES	ND					ND					ND				
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.37E+01	4.26E-01	1.00E+01	8.14E+00			YES	YES	1.03E+01			YES	YES	7.54E+00			YES		2.42E+00			YES	
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	2.86E+02		YES		YES	2.65E+02	J	YES		YES	1.91E+02	J	YES		YES	6.73E+01				
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00	1.10E+00	6.32E-01	J				1.70E+00		YES		YES	1.30E+00		YES		YES	3.79E-01	J			
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.90E-01	6.25E+00	1.60E+00	8.38E-01	J	YES			ND					ND					ND				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.72E+03	NA	NA	6.00E+03		YES			2.02E+02					2.47E+02					8.10E+01	J			
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	1.50E+01				YES	2.08E+01				YES	1.74E+01				YES	6.35E+00				YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.52E+01	4.68E+02	2.00E+01	1.91E+01		YES			2.49E+01		YES		YES	1.66E+01		YES			3.63E+00				
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	1.32E+02		YES		YES	4.57E+01		YES		YES	1.20E+02		YES		YES	1.17E+01				
Iron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	2.35E+04			YES	YES	2.84E+04			YES	YES	2.10E+04			YES	YES	9.01E+03			YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	4.53E+03		YES	YES	YES	3.05E+02	J	YES		YES	7.31E+02	J	YES	YES	YES	8.31E+01		YES		YES
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	2.83E+03		YES			1.17E+03		YES			1.17E+03		YES			2.65E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	2.27E+03		YES	YES	YES	3.74E+03	J	YES	YES	YES	2.58E+03	J	YES	YES	YES	2.90E+02				YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	8.73E-02	J	YES			8.48E-02	J	YES			7.66E-02	J				2.78E-02	J			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	1.01E+01					2.11E+01		YES			1.62E+01		YES			2.67E+00	B			
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	2.37E+02	B				8.68E+02		YES			9.29E+02		YES			2.52E+02	B			
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	9.89E-01	J	YES		YES	1.64E+00		YES		YES	1.23E+00	B	YES		YES	ND				
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	NA	NA	3.43E+01	B				4.03E+01	B				3.91E+01	B				ND				
Thallium	mg/kg	3.43E+00	5.08E-01	1.00E+00	1.00E+00	J		YES	YES	1.31E+00	J		YES	YES	ND					ND				
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	2.23E+01				YES	4.13E+01				YES	3.35E+01				YES	9.59E+00				YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	6.91E+01		YES		YES	8.50E+01		YES		YES	7.05E+01		YES		YES	9.74E+00				
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																								
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	NR					NR					3.60E-02					NR				
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	2.50E+00	NR					NR					ND					NR				
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA	NR					NR					ND					NR				
PESTICIDES																								
4,4'-DDD	mg/kg	NA	2.54E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					ND					NR				
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					1.90E-03	J				NR				
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	5.00E-04	NR					NR					ND					NR				
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+01	1.19E-01	NR					NR					ND					NR				
Endrin	mg/kg	NA	2.32E+00	1.00E-03	NR					NR					ND					NR				
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	NA	2.32E-01	1.05E-02	NR					NR					ND					NR				
Heptachlor	mg/kg	NA	1.40E-01	1.00E-01	NR					NR					3.40E-04	J				NR				
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	NA	3.89E+01	1.99E-02	NR					NR					1.80E-02	J				NR				
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	NA	1.00E-01	2.50E-03	NR					NR					ND					NR				
delta-BHC	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+00	9.94E+00	NR					NR					ND					NR				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 7 of 9)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)					HR-94Q-GP06 RJ0011 31-Jul-02 0- 1					HR-94Q-GP07 RJ0012 30-Jul-02 0- 1					HR-94Q-GP08 RJ0014 30-Jul-02 0- 1					HR-94Q-GP09 RJ0016 5-Aug-02 0- 1				
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS																								
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.63E+04	7.80E+03	5.00E+01	7.24E+03				YES	1.11E+04			YES	YES	1.57E+04			YES	YES	5.27E+03				YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.99E+00	3.11E+00	3.50E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.37E+01	4.26E-01	1.00E+01	2.41E+00			YES		2.42E+00			YES		4.62E+00			YES		1.77E+00			YES	
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	8.86E+01					6.06E+01	J				1.56E+02	J	YES			1.30E+02	J	YES		
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00	1.10E+00	4.02E-01	J				4.92E-01	J				1.07E+00	J	YES			6.32E-01	J			
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.90E-01	6.25E+00	1.60E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.72E+03	NA	NA	4.99E+02					1.24E+02					4.34E+02					4.04E+02				
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	6.06E+00				YES	8.24E+00				YES	1.29E+01				YES	3.80E+00				YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.52E+01	4.68E+02	2.00E+01	4.36E+00					3.17E+00					6.01E+00					5.70E+00				
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	2.83E+02		YES		YES	3.05E+01		YES			6.41E+01		YES		YES	1.22E+01				
Iron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	6.79E+03			YES	YES	6.54E+03			YES	YES	1.55E+04		YES	YES	YES	5.90E+03			YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	1.78E+03		YES	YES	YES	4.97E+01	J	YES			5.40E+02	J	YES	YES	YES	4.04E+01		YES		
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	3.01E+02					5.56E+02					7.27E+02					1.83E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	6.28E+02			YES	YES	1.85E+02	J			YES	6.37E+02	J		YES	YES	9.63E+02	J		YES	YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	3.22E-02	J				4.05E-02	J				4.35E-02	J				4.80E-02	J			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	2.59E+00	B				4.75E+00					7.66E+00					2.37E+00	B			
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	2.11E+02	B				4.04E+02	B				1.08E+03		YES			4.60E+02	J			
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	ND					ND					8.00E-01	B	YES			ND				
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	NA	NA	2.38E+01	B				3.53E+01	B				3.98E+01	B				ND				
Thallium	mg/kg	3.43E+00	5.08E-01	1.00E+00	ND					ND					ND					ND				
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	8.35E+00				YES	1.21E+01				YES	1.88E+01				YES	5.66E+00				YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	4.60E+01		YES			1.69E+01					3.20E+01					4.73E+01		YES		
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																								
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	2.50E+00	NR					NR					NR					NR				
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA	NR					NR					NR					NR				
PESTICIDES																								
4,4'-DDD	mg/kg	NA	2.54E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	5.00E-04	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+01	1.19E-01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endrin	mg/kg	NA	2.32E+00	1.00E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	NA	2.32E-01	1.05E-02	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Heptachlor	mg/kg	NA	1.40E-01	1.00E-01	NR					NR					NR					NR				
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	NA	3.89E+01	1.99E-02	NR					NR					NR					NR				
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	NA	1.00E-01	2.50E-03	NR					NR					NR					NR				
delta-BHC	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+00	9.94E+00	NR					NR					NR					NR				

Table 5-1

Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 8 of 9)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)					HR-94Q-GP10 RJ0018 5-Aug-02 0-1					HR-94Q-MW01 RJ0020 30-Jul-02 0-1					HR-94Q-MW02 RJ0023 5-Aug-02 0-1				
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	ESV ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	>ESV
METALS																			
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.63E+04	7.80E+03	5.00E+01	1.16E+04			YES	YES	2.27E+04		YES	YES	YES	5.84E+03				YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.99E+00	3.11E+00	3.50E+00	ND					ND					ND				
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.37E+01	4.26E-01	1.00E+01	3.47E+00			YES		7.24E+00			YES		1.96E+00			YES	
Barium	mg/kg	1.24E+02	5.47E+02	1.65E+02	3.68E+01	J				1.67E+02	J	YES		YES	9.11E+01	J			
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.00E-01	9.60E+00	1.10E+00	ND					1.11E+00	J	YES		YES	ND				
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.90E-01	6.25E+00	1.60E+00	ND					ND					ND				
Calcium	mg/kg	1.72E+03	NA	NA	1.22E+02					3.95E+02					9.30E+02				
Chromium	mg/kg	3.70E+01	2.32E+01	4.00E-01	1.42E+01				YES	1.80E+01				YES	5.73E+00				YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.52E+01	4.68E+02	2.00E+01	1.55E+00	J				1.70E+01		YES			8.22E+00				
Copper	mg/kg	1.27E+01	3.13E+02	4.00E+01	6.79E+00					6.10E+01		YES		YES	7.39E+00				
Iron	mg/kg	3.42E+04	2.34E+03	2.00E+02	1.96E+04			YES	YES	2.43E+04			YES	YES	8.35E+03			YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	4.01E+01	4.00E+02	5.00E+01	8.44E+00					1.69E+02	J	YES		YES	1.26E+01				
Magnesium	mg/kg	1.03E+03	NA	4.40E+05	2.65E+02					1.01E+03					2.53E+02				
Manganese	mg/kg	1.58E+03	3.63E+02	1.00E+02	6.54E+01	J				1.97E+03	J	YES	YES	YES	5.36E+02	J		YES	YES
Mercury	mg/kg	8.00E-02	2.33E+00	1.00E-01	8.69E-02	J	YES			6.29E-02	J				4.35E-02	J			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.03E+01	1.54E+02	3.00E+01	2.36E+00	B				1.39E+01		YES			3.89E+00				
Potassium	mg/kg	8.00E+02	NA	NA	5.77E+02	J				9.22E+02		YES			6.68E+02				
Selenium	mg/kg	4.80E-01	3.91E+01	8.10E-01	1.09E+00	B	YES		YES	1.42E+00	B	YES		YES	ND				
Sodium	mg/kg	6.34E+02	NA	NA	2.50E+01	B				4.05E+01	B				ND				
Thallium	mg/kg	3.43E+00	5.08E-01	1.00E+00	ND					ND					ND				
Vanadium	mg/kg	5.88E+01	5.31E+01	2.00E+00	1.91E+01				YES	3.01E+01				YES	7.92E+00				YES
Zinc	mg/kg	4.06E+01	2.34E+03	5.00E+01	9.90E+00					7.02E+01		YES		YES	2.48E+01				
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																			
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	8.96E+01	NR					3.80E-02					NR				
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	2.50E+00	NR					ND					NR				
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NA	NR					ND					NR				
PESTICIDES																			
4,4'-DDD	mg/kg	NA	2.54E+00	2.50E-03	NR					ND					NR				
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	2.50E-03	NR					6.80E-03				YES	NR				
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	5.00E-04	NR					8.00E-03				YES	NR				
Endosulfan I	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+01	1.19E-01	NR					8.30E-04	J				NR				
Endrin	mg/kg	NA	2.32E+00	1.00E-03	NR					2.50E-03	J			YES	NR				
Endrin ketone	mg/kg	NA	2.32E-01	1.05E-02	NR					2.10E-03	J				NR				
Heptachlor	mg/kg	NA	1.40E-01	1.00E-01	NR					ND					NR				
Methoxychlor	mg/kg	NA	3.89E+01	1.99E-02	NR					ND					NR				
alpha-BHC	mg/kg	NA	1.00E-01	2.50E-03	NR					3.10E-04	J				NR				
delta-BHC	mg/kg	NA	2.33E+00	9.94E+00	NR					6.00E-04	J				NR				

Table 5-1

**Surface and Depositional Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama**

(Page 9 of 9)

Analyses performed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 analytical methods.

^a BKG - Background. Concentration listed is two times (2x) the arithmetic mean of background metals concentration given in SAIC, 1998, *Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama*, July.

^b Residential human health site-specific screening level (SSSL) and ecological screening value (ESV) as given in IT, 2000, *Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, July.

B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit (and greater than zero).

J - Compound was positively identified; reported value is an estimated concentration.

mg/kg - Milligrams per kilogram.

NA - Not available.

ND - Not detected.

NR - Not requested.

Qual - Data validation qualifier.

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 1 of 7)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)				HR-146Q-GP01 RK0002 1-Aug-02 1 - 2				HR-146Q-GP02 RK0004 6-Aug-02 2 - 3				HR-146Q-GP03 RK0006 1-Aug-02 2 - 3				HR-146Q-GP04 RK0008 1-Aug-02 2 - 3			
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS																			
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.36E+04	7.80E+03	7.38E+03				9.72E+03			YES	9.28E+03			YES	1.45E+04		YES	YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.31E+00	3.11E+00	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.83E+01	4.26E-01	5.36E+00			YES	3.33E+00			YES	2.54E+00			YES	3.66E+00			YES
Barium	mg/kg	2.34E+02	5.47E+02	1.98E+02	J			6.28E+01	J			1.33E+02	J			9.82E+01	J		
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.60E-01	9.60E+00	8.08E-01	J			ND				6.79E-01	J			6.96E-01	J		
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.20E-01	6.25E+00	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Calcium	mg/kg	6.37E+02	NA	1.48E+02				3.75E+02				2.33E+02				1.54E+02			
Chromium	mg/kg	3.83E+01	2.32E+01	1.65E+01				1.02E+01	J			5.50E+00				1.03E+01			
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.75E+01	4.68E+02	2.12E+01	J	YES		2.39E+00				3.96E+00	J			7.66E+00	J		
Copper	mg/kg	1.94E+01	3.13E+02	1.57E+01				7.81E+00				7.51E+00				1.15E+01			
Iron	mg/kg	4.48E+04	2.34E+03	2.42E+04			YES	1.30E+04			YES	7.61E+03			YES	1.52E+04			YES
Lead	mg/kg	3.85E+01	4.00E+02	4.03E+01		YES		1.61E+01				2.27E+01				6.79E+01		YES	
Magnesium	mg/kg	7.66E+02	NA	2.61E+02				4.16E+02				3.41E+02				5.67E+02			
Manganese	mg/kg	1.36E+03	3.63E+02	1.98E+03		YES	YES	7.21E+01	J			9.45E+02			YES	4.46E+02			YES
Mercury	mg/kg	7.00E-02	2.33E+00	3.73E-02	J			4.83E-02	J			5.87E-02	J			5.02E-02	J		
Nickel	mg/kg	1.29E+01	1.54E+02	9.47E+00				3.81E+00				3.26E+00				6.42E+00			
Potassium	mg/kg	7.11E+02	NA	3.26E+02	J			2.46E+02	J			3.23E+02	J			4.41E+02	J		
Selenium	mg/kg	4.70E-01	3.91E+01	1.45E+00	B	YES		5.58E-01	J	YES		9.45E-01	B	YES		1.10E+00	B	YES	
Silver	mg/kg	2.40E-01	3.91E+01	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Sodium	mg/kg	7.02E+02	NA	ND				2.62E+01	J			ND				ND			
Thallium	mg/kg	1.40E+00	5.08E-01	8.58E-01	J		YES	ND				ND				ND			
Vanadium	mg/kg	6.49E+01	5.31E+01	1.75E+01				1.60E+01				8.50E+00				1.71E+01			
Zinc	mg/kg	3.49E+01	2.34E+03	2.41E+01				1.63E+01	J			1.21E+01				1.89E+01			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																			
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	NR				NR				NR				1.90E-02	J		
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	NR				NR				NR				3.30E-01	J		
Toluene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				NR				NR				ND			
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				NR				NR				ND			
PESTICIDES																			
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	NR				NR				NR				ND			
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	NR				NR				NR				ND			

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 2 of 7)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)				HR-146Q-GP05 RK0011 1-Aug-02 3 - 4				HR-146Q-GP06 RK0013 6-Aug-02 1 - 2				HR-146Q-GP07 RK0015 6-Aug-02 2 - 2.5				HR-146Q-GP08 RK0017 6-Aug-02 2 - 2.5			
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS																			
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.36E+04	7.80E+03	1.63E+04		YES	YES	1.08E+04			YES	1.96E+04		YES	YES	1.81E+04		YES	YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.31E+00	3.11E+00	ND				ND				ND				5.21E+00	J	YES	YES
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.83E+01	4.26E-01	5.04E+00			YES	3.18E+00			YES	7.39E+00			YES	8.14E+00			YES
Barium	mg/kg	2.34E+02	5.47E+02	3.59E+01	J			1.25E+02	J			7.51E+01	J			6.30E+01	J		
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.60E-01	9.60E+00	4.12E-01	J			1.01E+00	J	YES		1.00E+00	J	YES		8.27E-01	J		
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.20E-01	6.25E+00	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Calcium	mg/kg	6.37E+02	NA	1.01E+02	J			1.49E+02				1.76E+02				5.31E+01	J		
Chromium	mg/kg	3.83E+01	2.32E+01	1.52E+01				9.93E+00	J			2.01E+01	J			1.46E+01	J		
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.75E+01	4.68E+02	3.38E+00	J			6.39E+00				7.17E+00				1.56E+01			
Copper	mg/kg	1.94E+01	3.13E+02	8.38E+00				2.04E+01		YES		1.67E+01				1.38E+01			
Iron	mg/kg	4.48E+04	2.34E+03	3.35E+04			YES	1.35E+04			YES	3.84E+04			YES	4.59E+04		YES	YES
Lead	mg/kg	3.85E+01	4.00E+02	2.28E+01				1.97E+02		YES		4.32E+01		YES		1.90E+01			
Magnesium	mg/kg	7.66E+02	NA	3.27E+02				4.45E+02				5.69E+02				4.66E+02			
Manganese	mg/kg	1.36E+03	3.63E+02	4.17E+02			YES	7.38E+02	J		YES	7.50E+02	J		YES	4.05E+02	J		YES
Mercury	mg/kg	7.00E-02	2.33E+00	6.02E-02	J			3.66E-02	J			1.19E-01		YES		5.23E-02	J		
Nickel	mg/kg	1.29E+01	1.54E+02	5.88E+00				4.78E+00				1.02E+01				5.96E+00			
Potassium	mg/kg	7.11E+02	NA	2.74E+02	J			5.31E+02	J			5.93E+02				5.24E+02	J		
Selenium	mg/kg	4.70E-01	3.91E+01	1.56E+00	B	YES		7.60E-01	J	YES		1.92E+00		YES		2.08E+00		YES	
Silver	mg/kg	2.40E-01	3.91E+01	ND				ND				1.24E+00	J	YES		ND			
Sodium	mg/kg	7.02E+02	NA	ND				2.43E+01	J			2.64E+01	J			2.89E+01	J		
Thallium	mg/kg	1.40E+00	5.08E-01	ND				ND				1.54E+00	J	YES	YES	1.63E+00	J	YES	YES
Vanadium	mg/kg	6.49E+01	5.31E+01	3.25E+01				1.28E+01				3.78E+01				3.10E+01			
Zinc	mg/kg	3.49E+01	2.34E+03	1.68E+01				1.44E+01	J			4.33E+01	J	YES		2.38E+01	J		
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																			
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	NR				NR				NR				NR			
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	NR				NR				NR				NR			
Toluene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				NR				NR				NR			
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				NR				NR				NR			
PESTICIDES																			
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	NR				NR				NR				NR			
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	NR				NR				NR				NR			

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 3 of 7)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)				HR-146Q-GP09 RK0019 31-Jul-02 3 - 4				HR-146Q-GP10 RK0021 31-Jul-02 2 - 3				HR-146Q-GP11 RK0024 5-Aug-02 1 - 2				HR-146Q-MW01 RK0026 1-Aug-02 1 - 2			
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS																			
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.36E+04	7.80E+03	2.82E+04		YES	YES	1.74E+04		YES	YES	1.37E+04		YES	YES	1.38E+04		YES	YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.31E+00	3.11E+00	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.83E+01	4.26E-01	4.70E+00			YES	3.36E+00			YES	2.73E+00			YES	4.35E+00			YES
Barium	mg/kg	2.34E+02	5.47E+02	3.69E+01	J			5.46E+01	J			8.42E+01	J			8.84E+01	J		
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.60E-01	9.60E+00	ND				3.72E-01	J			4.33E-01	J			6.57E-01	J		
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.20E-01	6.25E+00	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Calcium	mg/kg	6.37E+02	NA	5.34E+01	J			8.21E+01	J			2.47E+02				1.70E+02			
Chromium	mg/kg	3.83E+01	2.32E+01	2.21E+01				1.10E+01				1.26E+01	J			2.44E+02		YES	YES
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.75E+01	4.68E+02	1.71E+00	J			4.79E+00	J			5.03E+00				9.64E+00	J		
Copper	mg/kg	1.94E+01	3.13E+02	1.01E+01				6.11E+00				5.43E+00				1.11E+01			
Iron	mg/kg	4.48E+04	2.34E+03	2.76E+04			YES	1.50E+04			YES	1.13E+04			YES	1.95E+04			YES
Lead	mg/kg	3.85E+01	4.00E+02	1.31E+01				1.38E+01				1.35E+01				1.45E+01			
Magnesium	mg/kg	7.66E+02	NA	4.47E+02				4.98E+02				5.20E+02				3.85E+02			
Manganese	mg/kg	1.36E+03	3.63E+02	4.29E+01				9.93E+01				2.27E+02	J			1.45E+03		YES	YES
Mercury	mg/kg	7.00E-02	2.33E+00	3.35E-01		YES		1.61E-01		YES		5.93E-02	J			5.38E-02	J		
Nickel	mg/kg	1.29E+01	1.54E+02	4.64E+00				4.64E+00				4.61E+00				1.10E+02		YES	
Potassium	mg/kg	7.11E+02	NA	3.52E+02	J			4.24E+02	J			4.33E+02	J			4.80E+02	J		
Selenium	mg/kg	4.70E-01	3.91E+01	1.62E+00	B	YES		1.34E+00	B	YES		ND				1.25E+00	B	YES	
Silver	mg/kg	2.40E-01	3.91E+01	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Sodium	mg/kg	7.02E+02	NA	ND				ND				3.21E+01	J			ND			
Thallium	mg/kg	1.40E+00	5.08E-01	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Vanadium	mg/kg	6.49E+01	5.31E+01	3.97E+01				2.06E+01				1.78E+01				2.22E+01			
Zinc	mg/kg	3.49E+01	2.34E+03	1.88E+01				1.83E+01				1.51E+01	J			1.61E+01			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																			
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	NR				5.80E-03	J			NR				NR			
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	NR				1.10E-01	J			NR				NR			
Toluene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				ND				NR				NR			
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				ND				NR				NR			
PESTICIDES																			
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	NR				ND				NR				NR			
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	NR				ND				NR				NR			

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 4 of 7)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)				HR-146Q-MW02 RK0028 31-Jul-02 1 - 2				HR-94Q-GP01 RJ0002 5-Aug-02 1 - 2				HR-94Q-GP03 RJ0005 30-Jul-02 1 - 2				HR-94Q-GP04 RJ0007 30-Jul-02 1 - 2			
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS																			
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.36E+04	7.80E+03	1.21E+04			YES	8.22E+03			YES	2.00E+04		YES	YES	2.58E+04		YES	YES
Antimony	mg/kg	1.31E+00	3.11E+00	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.83E+01	4.26E-01	2.69E+00			YES	4.71E+00			YES	4.86E+00			YES	6.84E+00			YES
Barium	mg/kg	2.34E+02	5.47E+02	4.47E+01	J			1.43E+02	J			1.05E+02	J			1.44E+02	J		
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.60E-01	9.60E+00	ND				7.74E-01	J			6.58E-01	J			1.72E+00		YES	
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.20E-01	6.25E+00	ND				ND				ND				5.62E-01	J	YES	
Calcium	mg/kg	6.37E+02	NA	5.84E+01	J			3.48E+02				1.39E+02				2.52E+02			
Chromium	mg/kg	3.83E+01	2.32E+01	8.27E+00				8.81E+00				1.45E+01				1.78E+01			
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.75E+01	4.68E+02	3.35E+00				9.81E+00				7.20E+00				1.46E+01			
Copper	mg/kg	1.94E+01	3.13E+02	4.78E+00				2.02E+01		YES		2.05E+01		YES		3.79E+01		YES	
Iron	mg/kg	4.48E+04	2.34E+03	1.22E+04			YES	1.79E+04			YES	1.76E+04			YES	1.80E+04			YES
Lead	mg/kg	3.85E+01	4.00E+02	8.99E+00				1.17E+02		YES		6.97E+01	J	YES		2.55E+02	J	YES	
Magnesium	mg/kg	7.66E+02	NA	4.16E+02				2.34E+02				9.55E+02		YES		1.21E+03		YES	
Manganese	mg/kg	1.36E+03	3.63E+02	9.33E+01				7.48E+02	J		YES	5.88E+02	J		YES	1.45E+03	J	YES	YES
Mercury	mg/kg	7.00E-02	2.33E+00	7.09E-02	J	YES		5.41E-02	J			6.50E-02	J			6.64E-02	J		
Nickel	mg/kg	1.29E+01	1.54E+02	4.03E+00				5.37E+00				9.18E+00				1.46E+01		YES	
Potassium	mg/kg	7.11E+02	NA	3.50E+02	J			2.56E+02	B			1.10E+03		YES		1.05E+03		YES	
Selenium	mg/kg	4.70E-01	3.91E+01	1.38E+00	B	YES		8.12E-01	B	YES		8.72E-01	B	YES		1.59E+00		YES	
Silver	mg/kg	2.40E-01	3.91E+01	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Sodium	mg/kg	7.02E+02	NA	ND				2.43E+01	B			4.01E+01	B			8.48E+01	B		
Thallium	mg/kg	1.40E+00	5.08E-01	ND				7.19E-01	B		YES	ND				ND			
Vanadium	mg/kg	6.49E+01	5.31E+01	1.56E+01				1.46E+01				2.42E+01				3.17E+01			
Zinc	mg/kg	3.49E+01	2.34E+03	2.84E+01				1.93E+01				2.65E+01				3.96E+01		YES	
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																			
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	NR				NR				NR				6.10E-02			
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	NR				NR				NR				ND			
Toluene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				NR				NR				1.90E-03	J		
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				NR				NR				3.90E-03	J		
PESTICIDES																			
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	NR				NR				NR				ND			
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	NR				NR				NR				1.90E-03	J		

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 5 of 7)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)				HR-94Q-GP05 RJ0010 31-Jul-02 2 - 3				HR-94Q-GP07 RJ0013 30-Jul-02 1 - 2				HR-94Q-GP08 RJ0015 30-Jul-02 1 - 2				HR-94Q-GP09 RJ0017 5-Aug-02 1- 1.5			
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS																			
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.36E+04	7.80E+03	7.49E+03				2.28E+04		YES	YES	1.30E+04			YES	6.36E+03			
Antimony	mg/kg	1.31E+00	3.11E+00	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.83E+01	4.26E-01	1.95E+00			YES	5.79E+00			YES	3.01E+00			YES	1.64E+00			YES
Barium	mg/kg	2.34E+02	5.47E+02	7.14E+01				8.31E+01	J			1.34E+02	J			1.07E+02	J		
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.60E-01	9.60E+00	ND				1.65E+00		YES		6.16E-01	J			7.59E-01	J		
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.20E-01	6.25E+00	ND				9.06E-01	J	YES		ND				ND			
Calcium	mg/kg	6.37E+02	NA	6.58E+01	J			1.40E+02				2.06E+02				1.83E+02			
Chromium	mg/kg	3.83E+01	2.32E+01	5.33E+00				2.09E+01				9.53E+00				5.60E+00			
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.75E+01	4.68E+02	3.58E+00				4.73E+00				4.80E+00				5.59E+00			
Copper	mg/kg	1.94E+01	3.13E+02	6.43E+00				7.61E+00				3.23E+01		YES		7.96E+00			
Iron	mg/kg	4.48E+04	2.34E+03	7.52E+03			YES	2.28E+04			YES	9.70E+03			YES	9.78E+03			YES
Lead	mg/kg	3.85E+01	4.00E+02	4.10E+01		YES		1.06E+01	J			1.59E+02	J	YES		1.25E+01			
Magnesium	mg/kg	7.66E+02	NA	2.63E+02				1.08E+03		YES		6.39E+02				1.86E+02			
Manganese	mg/kg	1.36E+03	3.63E+02	2.88E+02				8.28E+01	J			3.98E+02	J		YES	6.90E+02	J		YES
Mercury	mg/kg	7.00E-02	2.33E+00	4.22E-02	J			4.43E-02	J			3.83E-02	J			3.96E-02	J		
Nickel	mg/kg	1.29E+01	1.54E+02	2.89E+00	B			9.84E+00				5.24E+00				3.71E+00			
Potassium	mg/kg	7.11E+02	NA	1.81E+02	B			1.34E+03		YES		6.55E+02				3.89E+02	B		
Selenium	mg/kg	4.70E-01	3.91E+01	ND				2.20E+00		YES		ND				5.48E-01	B	YES	
Silver	mg/kg	2.40E-01	3.91E+01	ND				ND				ND				ND			
Sodium	mg/kg	7.02E+02	NA	ND				1.08E+02	B			3.95E+01	B			ND			
Thallium	mg/kg	1.40E+00	5.08E-01	ND				1.11E+00	J		YES	ND				ND			
Vanadium	mg/kg	6.49E+01	5.31E+01	8.09E+00				2.94E+01				1.39E+01				5.95E+00			
Zinc	mg/kg	3.49E+01	2.34E+03	7.26E+00				3.06E+01				2.50E+01				2.00E+01			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS																			
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	NR				NR				NR				NR			
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	NR				NR				NR				NR			
Toluene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				NR				NR				NR			
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				NR				NR				NR			
PESTICIDES																			
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	NR				NR				NR				NR			
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	NR				NR				NR				NR			

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 6 of 7)

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date Sample Depth (Feet)				HR-94Q-GP10 RJ0019 5-Aug-02 1 - 2				HR-94Q-MW01 RJ0022 30-Jul-02 1 - 2				HR-94Q-MW02 RJ0024 5-Aug-02 2 - 3			
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS															
Aluminum	mg/kg	1.36E+04	7.80E+03	1.10E+04			YES	1.97E+04		YES	YES	7.77E+03			
Antimony	mg/kg	1.31E+00	3.11E+00	ND				ND				ND			
Arsenic	mg/kg	1.83E+01	4.26E-01	3.46E+00			YES	4.56E+00			YES	2.61E+00			YES
Barium	mg/kg	2.34E+02	5.47E+02	3.03E+01	J			6.55E+01	J			2.51E+02	J	YES	
Beryllium	mg/kg	8.60E-01	9.60E+00	ND				6.85E-01	J			6.88E-01	J		
Cadmium	mg/kg	2.20E-01	6.25E+00	ND				ND				ND			
Calcium	mg/kg	6.37E+02	NA	6.63E+01	J			1.21E+02				1.14E+03		YES	
Chromium	mg/kg	3.83E+01	2.32E+01	9.80E+00				1.28E+01				6.02E+00			
Cobalt	mg/kg	1.75E+01	4.68E+02	1.46E+00	J			1.01E+01				4.84E+00			
Copper	mg/kg	1.94E+01	3.13E+02	6.04E+00				7.11E+00				3.55E+01		YES	
Iron	mg/kg	4.48E+04	2.34E+03	2.06E+04			YES	1.35E+04			YES	8.52E+03			YES
Lead	mg/kg	3.85E+01	4.00E+02	7.40E+00				1.41E+01	J			3.65E+01			
Magnesium	mg/kg	7.66E+02	NA	1.95E+02				9.83E+02		YES		2.41E+02			
Manganese	mg/kg	1.36E+03	3.63E+02	3.68E+01	J			5.85E+02	J		YES	9.04E+02	J		YES
Mercury	mg/kg	7.00E-02	2.33E+00	6.74E-02	J			1.03E-01	J	YES		ND			
Nickel	mg/kg	1.29E+01	1.54E+02	2.16E+00	B			1.09E+01				4.22E+00			
Potassium	mg/kg	7.11E+02	NA	6.78E+02				7.61E+02		YES		5.80E+02			
Selenium	mg/kg	4.70E-01	3.91E+01	6.63E-01	B	YES		9.16E-01	B	YES		ND			
Silver	mg/kg	2.40E-01	3.91E+01	ND				ND				ND			
Sodium	mg/kg	7.02E+02	NA	ND				3.92E+01	B			2.37E+01	B		
Thallium	mg/kg	1.40E+00	5.08E-01	ND				ND				ND			
Vanadium	mg/kg	6.49E+01	5.31E+01	1.74E+01				2.50E+01				9.05E+00			
Zinc	mg/kg	3.49E+01	2.34E+03	5.23E+00				2.56E+01				3.14E+01			
VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS															
2-Butanone	mg/kg	NA	4.66E+03	NR				2.90E-02				NR			
Acetone	mg/kg	NA	7.76E+02	NR				ND				NR			
Toluene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				5.20E-03				NR			
p-Cymene	mg/kg	NA	1.55E+03	NR				2.30E-03	J			NR			
PESTICIDES															
4,4'-DDT	mg/kg	NA	1.79E+00	NR				3.30E-03	J			NR			
Dieldrin	mg/kg	NA	3.88E-02	NR				ND				NR			

Table 5-2

Subsurface Soil Analytical Results Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

(Page 7 of 7)

Analyses performed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 analytical methods.

^a BKG - Background. Concentration listed is two times (2x) the arithmetic mean of background metals concentration given in SAIC, 1998, *Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama*, July.

^b Residential human health site-specific screening level (SSSL) as given in IT Corporation (2000), *Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, July.

B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit (and greater than zero).

J - Compound was positively identified; reported value is an estimated concentration.

mg/kg - Milligrams per kilogram.

NA - Not available.

ND - Not detected.

NR - Not requested.

Qual - Data validation qualifier.

Table 5-3

Groundwater Analytical Results
Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q
Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama

Sample Location Sample Number Sample Date				HR-146Q-MW01 RK3001 28-Aug-02				HR-146Q-MW02 RK3002 29-Aug-02				HR-94Q-MW01 RJ3001 10-Sep-02				HR-94Q-MW02 RJ3003 4-Sep-02			
Parameter	Units	BKG ^a	SSSL ^b	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL	Result	Qual	>BKG	>SSSL
METALS, TOTAL																			
Aluminum	mg/L	2.34E+00	1.56E+00	1.94E+00			YES	5.55E-01				1.22E+00				1.43E-01	B		
Arsenic	mg/L	1.78E-02	4.40E-05	3.79E-03	J		YES	ND				ND				ND			
Barium	mg/L	1.27E-01	1.10E-01	1.37E-02				2.30E-02				3.27E-02				2.19E-02			
Calcium	mg/L	5.65E+01	NA	5.63E-01	J			8.34E-01	J			2.03E+00				1.49E+00			
Iron	mg/L	7.04E+00	4.69E-01	7.75E-01	J		YES	2.47E-01	J			1.83E+00			YES	1.18E-01	J		
Lead	mg/L	8.00E-03	1.50E-02	ND				1.61E-03	J			2.84E-03	J			ND			
Magnesium	mg/L	2.13E+01	NA	2.71E-01	J			3.56E-01	J			1.16E+00				6.79E-01	J		
Manganese	mg/L	5.81E-01	7.35E-02	2.72E-01			YES	1.48E-01			YES	2.76E-01			YES	4.06E-01			YES
Potassium	mg/L	7.20E+00	NA	1.85E+00	J			1.57E+00	J			3.36E+00	J			3.98E+00	J		
Selenium	mg/L	NA	7.82E-03	ND				ND				4.62E-03	B			3.33E-03	B		
Sodium	mg/L	1.48E+01	NA	7.89E-01	J			8.23E-01	J			8.77E-01	B			1.09E+00	B		
METALS, DISSOLVED																			
Aluminum	mg/L	2.34E+00	1.56E+00	NR				NR				5.99E-01				NR			
Barium	mg/L	1.27E-01	1.10E-01	NR				NR				2.17E-02				NR			
Calcium	mg/L	5.65E+01	NA	NR				NR				2.05E+00				NR			
Iron	mg/L	7.04E+00	4.69E-01	NR				NR				7.21E-01	J		YES	NR			
Lead	mg/L	8.00E-03	1.50E-02	NR				NR				1.50E-03	J			NR			
Magnesium	mg/L	2.13E+01	NA	NR				NR				1.13E+00				NR			
Manganese	mg/L	5.81E-01	7.35E-02	NR				NR				1.98E-01			YES	NR			
Potassium	mg/L	7.20E+00	NA	NR				NR				2.92E+00	J			NR			
Selenium	mg/L	NA	7.82E-03	NR				NR				3.37E-03	B			NR			
Sodium	mg/L	1.48E+01	NA	NR				NR				9.12E-01	B			NR			

Analyses performed using U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) SW-846 analytical methods.

^a BKG - Background. Concentration listed is two times (2x) the arithmetic mean of background metals concentration given in SAIC, 1998, *Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama*, July.

^b Residential human health site-specific screening level (SSSL) as given in IT Corporation (2000), *Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, July.

B - Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit (and greater than zero).

J - Compound was positively identified; reported value is an estimated concentration.

mg/L - Milligrams per liter.

NA - Not available.

ND - Not detected.

NR - Not requested.

Qual - Data validation qualifier.

qualifier, indicating that the concentration was estimated below the method reporting limit.


- Barium (2,290 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (547 mg/kg) and background (124 mg/kg) at one sample location.
- Iron (38,400 to 66,800 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (2,345 mg/kg) and background (34,154 mg/kg) at three sample locations.
- Lead (540 to 4,530 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (400 mg/kg) and background (40 mg/kg) at six sample locations.
- Manganese (1,840 to 10,500 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (363 mg/kg) and background (1,579 mg/kg) at eight sample locations.
- Thallium (5.68 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (0.508 mg/kg) and background (3.43 mg/kg) at one sample location. The thallium result was “J” flagged, indicating that the concentration was estimated.

Figure 5-1 shows the surface and depositional soil sample locations with metals results exceeding SSSLs and background.

Sixteen metals were detected at concentrations exceeding ESVs: aluminum, antimony, arsenic, barium, beryllium, chromium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, selenium, thallium, vanadium, and zinc. Of these, the following metals results also exceeded their respective background values in one or more samples:

- Antimony (10.9 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (3.5 mg/kg) and background (1.99 mg/kg) at one sample location.
- Barium (166 to 2,290 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (165 mg/kg) and background (124 mg/kg) at nine sample locations.
- Beryllium (1.11 to 2.66 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (1.1 mg/kg) and background (0.8 mg/kg) at seven sample locations.
- Cobalt (24.9 to 96.3 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (20 mg/kg) and background (15.2 mg/kg) at three sample locations.
- Copper (41 to 283 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (40 mg/kg) and background (12.7 mg/kg) at eight sample locations.
- Iron (38,400 to 66,800 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (200 mg/kg) and background (34,154 mg/kg) at three sample locations.

DWG. NO.: 796887es.731
INITIATOR: T. WINTON
PROJ. MGR.: J. YACOB
DRAFT, CHK. BY:
ENGR. CHK. BY: S. MORAN
DATE LAST REV.:
DRAWN BY:
STARTING DATE: 04/21/03
DRAWN BY: D. BOMAR
2/9/2004
1:15:53 PM
C:\cadd\Design\796887es.731



LEGEND

- UNIMPROVED ROADS
- PAVED ROADS
- TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS (CONTOUR INTERVAL - 25 FOOT)
- TREES / TREELINE
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- FIRING LINE
- SURFACE DRAINAGE / CREEK
- FENCE
- TRENCH
- BERM
- SURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- GROUNDWATER, SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- DEPOSITIONAL SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- J METAL WAS POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED; REPORTED VALUE IS AN ESTIMATED CONCENTRATION
- BKG BACKGROUND
- mg/kg MILLIGRAMS PER KILOGRAM
- SSSL SITE-SPECIFIC SCREENING LEVEL

FIGURE 5-1
METALS RESULTS EXCEEDING SSSLs AND BACKGROUND IN SURFACE AND DEPOSITIONAL SOIL
FORMER RANGE 40, PARCEL 94Q AND RANGE, CHOCCOLOCCO CORRIDOR, PARCEL 146Q

U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
MOBILE DISTRICT
FORT McCLELLAN
CALHOUN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018

SCALE
0 400 800 FEET

Shaw Shaw Environmental, Inc.

- Lead (53.2 to 4,530 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (50 mg/kg) and background (40 mg/kg) at 18 sample locations.
- Manganese (1,840 to 10,500 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (100 mg/kg) and background (1,579 mg/kg) at eight sample locations.
- Mercury (0.11 and 0.128 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (0.1 mg/kg) and background (0.08 mg/kg) at two sample locations.
- Selenium (0.819 to 3.79 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (0.81 mg/kg) and background (0.48 mg/kg) at 22 sample locations.
- Thallium (5.68 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (1 mg/kg) and background (3.43 mg/kg) at one sample location.
- Zinc (68.6 to 85 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (50 mg/kg) and background (40.6 mg/kg) at five sample locations.


Figure 5-2 shows the surface and depositional soil sample locations with metals results exceeding ESVs and background.

Volatile Organic Compounds. Four surface and depositional soil sample locations (HR-94Q-GP04, HR-94Q-MW01, HR-146Q-GP04, and HR-146Q-GP10) were analyzed for VOCs. A total of three VOCs (2-butanone, acetone, and p-cymene) were detected in the samples at concentrations below their respective SSSLs and ESVs.

Semivolatile Organic Compounds. Four surface and depositional soil sample locations (HR-94Q-GP04, HR-94Q-MW01, HR-146Q-GP04, and HR-146Q-GP10) were analyzed for SVOCs. SVOCs were not detected in the samples.

Pesticides. Four surface and depositional soil sample locations (HR-94Q-GP04, HR-94Q-MW01, HR-146Q-GP04, and HR-146Q-GP10) were analyzed for pesticides. A total of 10 pesticides were detected in the samples at concentrations below their respective SSSLs. All but two of the pesticide results were flagged with a “J” data qualifier, indicating that the compounds were detected at estimated concentrations below method reporting limits. The concentrations of three pesticides exceeded their respective ESVs:

- 4,4'-Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) (0.0027 and 0.0068 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (0.0025 mg/kg) at two sample locations (HR-146Q-GP04 and HR-94Q-MW01).
- Dieldrin (0.0017 and 0.008 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (0.0005 mg/kg) at two



sample locations (HR-146Q-GP10 and HR-94Q-MW01).

- Endrin (0.0025 to 0.0036 mg/kg) exceeded its ESV (0.001 mg/kg) at three sample locations (HR146Q-GP04, HR-146Q-GP10, and HR-94Q-MW01).

Herbicides. Four surface and depositional soil sample locations (HR-94Q-GP04, HR-94Q-MW01, HR-146Q-GP04, and HR-146Q-GP10) were analyzed for herbicides. Herbicides were not detected in the samples.

Explosives. Explosive compounds were not detected in the surface and depositional soil samples.

5.2 Subsurface Soil Analytical Results

Twenty-three subsurface soil samples were collected for chemical analysis at Parcels 94Q and 146Q. Subsurface soil samples were collected at depths greater than 1 foot bgs at the locations shown on Figure 3-1. Analytical results were compared to residential human health SSSLs and metals background concentrations, as presented in Table 5-2.

Metals. A total of 23 metals were detected in the subsurface soil samples. The concentrations of seven metals (aluminum, antimony, arsenic, chromium, iron, manganese, and thallium) exceeded their respective SSSLs. Of these, aluminum, antimony, chromium, iron, manganese, and thallium also exceeded their respective background values in one or more samples:

- Aluminum (13,700 to 28,200 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (7,803 mg/kg) and background (13,591 mg/kg) at 12 sample locations.
- Antimony (5.21 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (3.11 mg/kg) and background (1.31 mg/kg) at one sample location. The antimony result was flagged with a “J” data qualifier, indicating that concentration was estimated below the method reporting limit.
- Chromium (244 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (23.2 mg/kg) and background (38 mg/kg) at one sample location.
- Iron (45,900 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (2,345 mg/kg) and background (44,817 mg/kg) at one sample location.
- Manganese (1,450 to 1,980 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (363 mg/kg) and background (1,355 mg/kg) at three sample locations.

- Thallium (1.54 and 1.63 mg/kg) exceeded its SSSL (0.508 mg/kg) and background (1.4 mg/kg) at two sample locations.

Figure 5-3 shows the subsurface soil sample locations with metals results exceeding SSSLs and background.

Volatile Organic Compounds. Four subsurface soil sample locations (HR-94Q-GP04, HR-94Q-MW01, HR-146Q-GP04, and HR-146Q-GP10) were analyzed for VOCs. A total of four VOCs (2-butanone, acetone, p-cymene, and toluene) were detected in the samples at concentrations below their respective SSSLs.

Semivolatile Organic Compounds. Four subsurface soil sample locations (HR-94Q-GP04, HR-94Q-MW01, HR-146Q-GP04, and HR-146Q-GP10) were analyzed for SVOCs. SVOCs were not detected in the samples.

Pesticides. Four subsurface soil sample locations (HR-94Q-GP04, HR-94Q-MW01, HR-146Q-GP04, and HR-146Q-GP10) were analyzed for pesticides. Two pesticides (dieldrin and 4,4'-DDT) were detected in one sample each at estimated concentrations below their respective SSSLs.

Herbicides. Four subsurface soil sample locations (HR-94Q-GP04, HR-94Q-MW01, HR-146Q-GP04, and HR-146Q-GP10) were analyzed for herbicides. Herbicides were not detected in the samples.


Explosives. Explosive compounds were not detected in the subsurface soil samples.

5.3 Groundwater Analytical Results

Four groundwater samples were collected for chemical analysis at Parcels 94Q and 146Q, at the locations shown on Figure 3-1. Analytical results were compared to residential human health SSSLs and metals background concentrations, as presented in Table 5-3.

Metals. A total of 11 metals were detected in the groundwater samples. The concentrations of four metals (aluminum, arsenic, iron, and manganese) exceeded their respective SSSLs in one or more samples but were all below background values.

Volatile Organic Compounds. One groundwater sample location (HR-94Q-MW01) was analyzed for VOCs. VOCs were not detected in the sample.



LEGEND

- UNIMPROVED ROADS
- PAVED ROADS
- TOPOGRAPHIC CONTOURS (CONTOUR INTERVAL - 25 FOOT)
- TREES / TREELINE
- PARCEL BOUNDARY
- FIRING LINE
- SURFACE DRAINAGE / CREEK
- FENCE
- TRENCH
- BERM
- SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- GROUNDWATER, SURFACE AND SUBSURFACE SOIL SAMPLE LOCATION
- J METAL WAS POSITIVELY IDENTIFIED; REPORTED VALUE IS AN ESTIMATED CONCENTRATION
- mg/kg MILLIGRAMS PER KILOGRAM
- SSSL SITE-SPECIFIC SCREENING LEVEL
- BKG BACKGROUND

FIGURE 5-3
METALS RESULTS EXCEEDING SSSLs AND BACKGROUND IN SUBSURFACE SOIL FORMER RANGE 40, PARCEL 94Q AND RANGE, CHOCCOLOCCO CORRIDOR, PARCEL 146Q

U. S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS
MOBILE DISTRICT
FORT McCLELLAN
CALHOUN COUNTY, ALABAMA
Contract No. DACA21-96-D-0018

SCALE

0 400 800 FEET

Semivolatile Organic Compounds. One groundwater sample location (HR-94Q-MW01) was analyzed for SVOCs. SVOCs were not detected in the sample.

Pesticides. One groundwater sample location (HR-94Q-MW01) was analyzed for pesticides. Pesticides were not detected in the sample.

Herbicides. One groundwater sample location (HR-94Q-MW01) was analyzed for herbicides. Herbicides were not detected in the sample.

Explosives. Explosive compounds were not detected in the groundwater samples.

6.0 Summary, Conclusions, and Recommendations

Shaw completed an SI at Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q, at FTMC in Calhoun County, Alabama. The SI was conducted to determine whether chemical constituents are present at the site as a result of historical mission-related Army activities. The SI consisted of the collection and analysis of 25 surface soil samples, 6 depositional soil samples, 23 subsurface soil samples, and 4 groundwater samples. In addition, 4 permanent monitoring wells were installed in the saturated zone to facilitate groundwater sample collection and to provide site-specific geological and hydrogeological characterization information.

Chemical analysis of samples collected at the site indicates that metals, VOCs, and pesticides were detected in site media. SVOCs, herbicides, and explosive compounds were not detected in any of the samples. Analytical results were compared to SSSLs, ESVs, and background screening values developed for human health and ecological risk evaluations as part of investigations being performed under the BRAC Environmental Restoration Program at FTMC.

Constituents detected at concentrations exceeding SSSLs and background (where available) were identified as COPCs in site media. COPCs identified were seven metals (aluminum, antimony, barium, iron, lead, manganese, and thallium) in surface soil and six metals (aluminum, antimony, chromium, iron, manganese, and thallium) in subsurface soil. The most significant COPC was lead, which was detected at concentrations (540 to 4,530 mg/kg) exceeding its residential SSSL (400 mg/kg) in six surface soil samples. No COPCs were identified in groundwater. VOC and pesticide concentrations in site media were all below SSSLs.

Constituents detected at concentrations exceeding ESVs and background (where available) were identified as constituents of potential ecological concern (COPEC) in surface soil. COPECs identified were 13 metals (aluminum, antimony, barium, beryllium, cobalt, copper, iron, lead, manganese, mercury, selenium, thallium, and zinc) and three pesticides (4,4'-DDT, dieldrin, and endrin). The most significant COPC was lead, which was detected at concentrations (53.2 to 4,530 mg/kg) exceeding its ESV (50 mg/kg) and background (40 mg/kg) in 18 surface soil samples. VOC concentrations in site media were all below ESVs.

Based on the results of the SI, past operations at Parcels 94Q and 146Q have impacted the environment. Therefore, Shaw recommends that a remedial investigation be conducted to determine the extent of contamination in soil at Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q.

7.0 References

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM), 2000, *Standard Practice for Description and Identification of Soils (Visual-Manual Procedure)*, ASTM D 2488-00.

Cloud, P. E., Jr., 1966, *Bauxite Deposits of the Anniston, Fort Payne, and Asheville Areas, Northeast Alabama*, U. S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1199-O, 35p.

Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc. (ESE), 1998, *Final Environmental Baseline Survey, Fort McClellan, Alabama*, prepared for U.S. Army Environmental Center, Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, January.

Hunt, Roy E., 1986, *Geotechnical Engineering Techniques and Practices*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York.

IT Corporation (IT), 2002a, *Final Site-Specific Field Sampling Plan, Site-Specific Safety and Health Plan, and Site-Specific Unexploded Ordnance Safety Plan Attachments, Former Range 40, Parcel 94Q, and Range, Choccolocco Corridor, Parcel 146Q, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, April.

IT Corporation (IT), 2002b, *Draft Installation-Wide Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, Revision 3, February.

IT Corporation (IT), 2000a, *Final Installation-Wide Sampling and Analysis Plan, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, March.

IT Corporation (IT), 2000b, *Final Human Health and Ecological Screening Values and PAH Background Summary Report, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, July.

IT Corporation (IT), 1998, *Final Installation-Wide Work Plan, Fort McClellan, Calhoun County, Alabama*, August.

Moser, P. H., and S. S. DeJarnette, 1992, *Ground-water Availability in Calhoun County, Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama Special Map 228.

Osborne, W. E., 1999, Personal communication with John Hofer, IT Corporation.

Osborne, W. E., and M. W. Szabo, 1984, *Stratigraphy and Structure of the Jacksonville Fault, Calhoun County, Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama Circular 117.

Osborne, W. E., G. D. Irving, and W. E. Ward, 1997, *Geologic Map of the Anniston 7.5' Quadrangle, Calhoun County, Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama Preliminary Map, 1 sheet.

Osborne, W. E., M. W. Szabo, C. W. Copeland, Jr., and T. L. Neathery, 1989, *Geologic Map of Alabama*, Geological Survey of Alabama Special Map 221, scale 1:500,000, 1 sheet.

Osborne, W. E., M. W. Szabo, T. L. Neathery, and C. W. Copeland, compilers, 1988, ***Geologic Map of Alabama, Northeast Sheet***, Geological Survey of Alabama Special Map 220, Scale 1:250,000.

Raymond, D. E., W. E. Osborne, C. W. Copeland, and T. L. Neathery, 1988, ***Alabama Stratigraphy***, Geological Survey of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, Alabama.

Science Applications International Corporation, 1998, ***Final Background Metals Survey Report, Fort McClellan, Alabama***, July.

Thomas, W. A., and T. L. Neathery, 1982, ***Appalachian Thrust Belts in Alabama: Tectonics and Sedimentation***, Geologic Society of America 1982 Annual Meeting, New Orleans, Louisiana, Field Trip, Alabama Geological Society Guidebook 19A.

Thomas, W. A., and J. A. Drahovzal, 1974, ***The Coosa Deformed Belt in the Alabama Appalachians***, Alabama Geological Society, 12th Annual Field Trip Guidebook 98 p.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 2001a, ***Archives Search Report, Maps, Fort McClellan, Anniston, Alabama***, Revision 1, September.

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE), 2001b, ***Requirements for the Preparation of Sampling and Analysis Plans***, Engineer Manual EM 200-1-3, February.

U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1961, ***Soil Survey, Calhoun County, Alabama***, Soil Conservation Service, Series 1958, No. 9, September.

U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, 1998, Unedited Local Climatological Data, Anniston, Alabama, January - December 1998.

Warman, J. C., and L. V. Causey, 1962, ***Geology and Ground-water Resources of Calhoun County, Alabama***, Geological Survey of Alabama County Report 7.

ATTACHMENT 1

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms

2,4-D	2,4-dichlorophenoxyacetic acid	ATV	all-terrain vehicle	CERFA	Community Environmental Response Facilitation Act
2,4,5-T	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxyacetic acid	AUF	area use factor	CESAS	Corps of Engineers South Atlantic Savannah
2,4,5-TP	2,4,5-trichlorophenoxypropionic acid	AWARE	Associated Water and Air Resources Engineers, Inc.	CF	conversion factor
3D	3D International Environmental Group	AWQC	ambient water quality criteria	CFC	chlorofluorocarbon
AB	ambient blank	AWWSB	Anniston Water Works and Sewer Board	CFDP	Center for Domestic Preparedness
AbB3	Anniston gravelly clay loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, severely eroded	‘B’	Analyte detected in laboratory or field blank at concentration greater than the reporting limit (and greater than zero)	CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
AbC3	Anniston gravelly clay loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, severely eroded	BCF	blank correction factor; bioconcentration factor	CG	phosgene (carbonyl chloride)
AbD3	Anniston and Allen gravelly clay loams, 10 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	BCT	BRAC Cleanup Team	CGI	combustible gas indicator
ABLM	adult blood lead model	BERA	baseline ecological risk assessment	ch	inorganic clays of high plasticity
Abs	skin absorption	BEHP	bis(2-ethylhexyl)phthalate	CHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine
ABS	dermal absorption factor	BFB	bromofluorobenzene	CIH	Certified Industrial Hygienist
AC	hydrogen cyanide	BFE	base flood elevation	CK	cyanogen chloride
ACAD	AutoCadd	BG	Bacillus globigii	cl	inorganic clays of low to medium plasticity
AcB2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	BGR	Bains Gap Road	Cl	chlorinated
AcC2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	bgs	below ground surface	CLP	Contract Laboratory Program
AcD2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 10 to 15 percent slopes, eroded	BHC	hexachlorocyclohexane	cm	centimeter
AcE2	Anniston and Allen gravelly loams, 15 to 25 percent slopes, eroded	BHHRA	baseline human health risk assessment	CN	chloroacetophenone
ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists	BIRTC	Branch Immaterial Replacement Training Center	CNB	chloroacetophenone, benzene, and carbon tetrachloride
AdE	Anniston and Allen stony loam, 10 to 25 percent slope	bkg	background	CNS	chloroacetophenone, chloropicrin, and chloroform
ADEM	Alabama Department of Environmental Management	bls	below land surface	CO	carbon monoxide
ADPH	Alabama Department of Public Health	BOD	biological oxygen demand	CO ₂	carbon dioxide
AEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center	Bp	soil-to-plant biotransfer factors	Co-60	cobalt-60
AEDA	ammunition, explosives, and other dangerous articles	BRAC	Base Realignment and Closure	CoA	Code of Alabama
AEL	airborne exposure limit	Braun	Braun Intertec Corporation	COC	chain of custody; chemical of concern
AET	adverse effect threshold	BSAF	biota-to-sediment accumulation factors	COE	Corps of Engineers
AF	soil-to-skin adherence factor	BSC	background screening criterion	Con	skin or eye contact
AHA	ammunition holding area	BTAG	Biological Technical Assistance Group	COPC	chemical of potential concern
AL	Alabama	BTEX	benzene, toluene, ethyl benzene, and xylenes	COPEC	constituent of potential ecological concern
ALARNG	Alabama Army National Guard	BTOC	below top of casing	CPOM	coarse particulate organic matter
ALAD	δ-aminolevulinic acid dehydratase	BTV	background threshold value	CPSS	chemicals present in site samples
ALDOT	Alabama Department of Transportation	BW	biological warfare; body weight	CQCSM	Contract Quality Control System Manager
amb.	amber	BZ	breathing zone; 3-quinuclidinyl benzilate	CRDL	contract-required detection limit
amsl	above mean sea level	C	ceiling limit value	CRL	certified reporting limit
ANAD	Anniston Army Depot	Ca	carcinogen	CRQL	contract-required quantitation limit
AOC	area of concern	CaCO ₃	calcium carbonate	CRZ	contamination reduction zone
AP	armor piercing	CAA	Clean Air Act	Cs-137	cesium-137
APEC	areas of potential ecological concern	CAB	chemical warfare agent breakdown products	CS	ortho-chlorobenzylidene-malononitrile
APT	armor-piercing tracer	CACM	Chemical Agent Contaminated Media	CSEM	conceptual site exposure model
AR	analysis request	CAMU	corrective action management unit	CSM	conceptual site model
ARAR	applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement	CBR	chemical, biological, and radiological	CT	central tendency
AREE	area requiring environmental evaluation	CCAL	continuing calibration	ctr.	container
AS/SVE	air sparging/soil vapor extraction	CCB	continuing calibration blank	CWA	chemical warfare agent; Clean Water Act
ASP	Ammunition Supply Point	CCV	continuing calibration verification	CWM	chemical warfare material; clear, wide mouth
ASR	Archives Search Report	CD	compact disc	CX	dichloroformoxime
AST	aboveground storage tank	CDTF	Chemical Defense Training Facility	‘D’	duplicate; dilution
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials	CEHNC	U.S. Army Engineering and Support Center, Huntsville	D&I	detection and identification
AT	averaging time	CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act	DAAMS	depot area agent monitoring station
ATSDR	Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry			DAF	dilution-attenuation factor

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms *(Continued)*

DANC	decontamination agent, non-corrosive
°C	degrees Celsius
°F	degrees Fahrenheit
DCA	dichloroethane
DCE	dichloroethene
DDD	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane
DDE	dichlorodiphenyldichloroethene
DDT	dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane
DEH	Directorate of Engineering and Housing
DEP	depositional soil
DFTPP	decafluorotriphenylphosphine
DI	deionized
DID	data item description
DIMP	di-isopropylmethylphosphonate
DM	dry matter; adamsite
DMBA	dimethylbenz(a)anthracene
DMMP	dimethylmethylphosphonate
DO	dissolved oxygen
DOD	U.S. Department of Defense
DOJ	U.S. Department of Justice
DOT	U.S. Department of Transportation
DP	direct-push
DPDO	Defense Property Disposal Office
DPT	direct-push technology
DQO	data quality objective
DRMO	Defense Reutilization and Marketing Office
DRO	diesel range organics
DS	deep (subsurface) soil
DS2	Decontamination Solution Number 2
DSERTS	Defense Site Environmental Restoration Tracking System
DWEL	drinking water equivalent level
E&E	Ecology and Environment, Inc.
EB	equipment blank
EBS	environmental baseline survey
EC ₅₀	effects concentration for 50 percent of a population
ECBC	Edgewood Chemical Biological Center
ED	exposure duration
EDD	electronic data deliverable
EF	exposure frequency
EDQL	ecological data quality level
EE/CA	engineering evaluation and cost analysis
Elev.	elevation
EM	electromagnetic
EMI	Environmental Management Inc.
EM31	Geonics Limited EM31 Terrain Conductivity Meter
EM61	Geonics Limited EM61 High-Resolution Metal Detector
EOD	explosive ordnance disposal
EODT	explosive ordnance disposal team

EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPC	exposure point concentration
EPIC	Environmental Photographic Interpretation Center
EPRI	Electrical Power Research Institute
EPT	Ephemeroptera, Plecoptera, Trichoptera
ER	equipment rinsate
ERA	ecological risk assessment
ER-L	effects range-low
ER-M	effects range-medium
ESE	Environmental Science and Engineering, Inc.
ESMP	Endangered Species Management Plan
ESN	Environmental Services Network, Inc.
ESV	ecological screening value
ET	exposure time
EU	exposure unit
Exp.	explosives
E-W	east to west
EZ	exclusion zone
FAR	Federal Acquisition Regulations
FB	field blank
FBI	Family Biotic Index
FD	field duplicate
FDC	Former Decontamination Complex
FDA	U.S. Food and Drug Administration
Fe ⁺³	ferric iron
Fe ⁺²	ferrous iron
FedEx	Federal Express, Inc.
FEMA	Federal Emergency Management Agency
FFCA	Federal Facilities Compliance Act
FFE	field flame expedient
FFS	focused feasibility study
FI	fraction of exposure
Fil	filtered
Flt	filtered
FMDC	Fort McClellan Development Commission
FML	flexible membrane liner
f _{oc}	fraction organic carbon
FOMRA	Former Ordnance Motor Repair Area
FOST	Finding of Suitability to Transfer
Foster Wheeler	Foster Wheeler Environmental Corporation
FR	Federal Register
Frtn	fraction
FS	field split; feasibility study
FSP	field sampling plan
ft	feet
ft/day	feet per day
ft/ft	feet per foot
ft/yr	feet per year

FTA	Fire Training Area
FTMC	Fort McClellan
FTRRA	FTMC Reuse & Redevelopment Authority
g	gram
g/m ³	gram per cubic meter
G-856	Geometrics, Inc. G-856 magnetometer
G-858G	Geometrics, Inc. G-858G magnetic gradiometer
GAF	gastrointestinal absorption factor
gal	gallon
gal/min	gallons per minute
GB	sarin (isopropyl methylphosphonofluoridate)
gc	clay gravels; gravel-sand-clay mixtures
GC	gas chromatograph
GCL	geosynthetic clay liner
GC/MS	gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer
GCR	geosynthetic clay liner
GFAA	graphite furnace atomic absorption
GIS	Geographic Information System
gm	silty gravels; gravel-sand-silt mixtures
gp	poorly graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures
gpm	gallons per minute
GPR	ground-penetrating radar
GPS	global positioning system
GRA	general response action
GS	ground scar
GSA	General Services Administration; Geologic Survey of Alabama
GSBP	Ground Scar Boiler Plant
GSSI	Geophysical Survey Systems, Inc.
GST	ground stain
GW	groundwater
gw	well-graded gravels; gravel-sand mixtures
H&S	health and safety
HA	hand auger
HC	mixture of hexachloroethane, aluminum powder, and zinc oxide (smoke producer)
HCl	hydrochloric acid
HD	distilled mustard (bis-[dichloroethyl]sulfide)
HDPE	high-density polyethylene
HE	high explosive
HEAST	Health Effects Assessment Summary Tables
Herb.	herbicides
HHRA	human health risk assessment
HI	hazard index
H ₂ O ₂	hydrogen peroxide
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
HNO ₃	nitric acid
HQ	hazard quotient
HQ _{screen}	screening-level hazard quotient
hr	hour

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

HRC	hydrogen releasing compound	l	liter	MnO ₄ ⁻	permanganate ion
HSA	hollow-stem auger	LAW	light anti-tank weapon	MOA	Memorandum of Agreement
HTRW	hazardous, toxic, and radioactive waste	lb	pound	MOGAS	motor vehicle gasoline
‘I’	out of control, data rejected due to low recovery	LBP	lead-based paint	MOUT	Military Operations in Urban Terrain
IASPOW	Impact Area South of POW Training Facility	LC	liquid chromatography	MP	Military Police
IATA	International Air Transport Authority	LCS	laboratory control sample	MPA	methyl phosphonic acid
ICAL	initial calibration	LC ₅₀	lethal concentration for 50 percent population tested	MPC	maximum permissible concentration
ICB	initial calibration blank	LD ₅₀	lethal dose for 50 percent population tested	MPM	most probable munition
ICP	inductively-coupled plasma	LEL	lower explosive limit	MQL	method quantitation limit
ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection	LOAEL	lowest-observed-advserse-effects-level	MR	molasses residue
ICS	interference check sample	LOEC	lowest-observable-effect-concentration	MRL	method reporting limit
ID	inside diameter	LRA	land redevelopment authority	MS	matrix spike
IDL	instrument detection limit	LT	less than the certified reporting limit	mS/cm	millisiemens per centimeter
IDLH	immediately dangerous to life or health	LUC	land-use control	mS/m	millisiemens per meter
IDM	investigative-derived media	LUCAP	land-use control assurance plan	MSD	matrix spike duplicate
IDW	investigation-derived waste	LUCIP	land-use control implementation plan	MTBE	methyl tertiary butyl ether
IEUBK	Integrated Exposure Uptake Biokinetic	max	maximum	msl	mean sea level
IF	ingestion factor; inhalation factor	MB	method blank	MtD3	Montevallo shaly, silty clay loam, 10 to 40 percent slopes , severely eroded
ILCR	incremental lifetime cancer risk	MCL	maximum contaminant level	mV	millivolts
IMPA	isopropylmethyl phosphonic acid	MCLG	maximum contaminant level goal	MW	monitoring well
IMR	Iron Mountain Road	MCPA	4-chloro-2-methylphenoxyacetic acid	MWI&MP	Monitoring Well Installation and Management Plan
in.	inch	MCPP	2-(2-methyl-4-chlorophenoxy)propionic acid	Na	sodium
Ing	ingestion	MCS	media cleanup standard	NA	not applicable; not available
Inh	inhalation	MD	matrix duplicate	NAD	North American Datum
IP	ionization potential	MDC	maximum detected concentration	NAD83	North American Datum of 1983
IPS	International Pipe Standard	MDCC	maximum detected constituent concentration	NaMnO ₄	sodium permanganate
IR	ingestion rate	MDL	method detection limit	NAVD88	North American Vertical Datum of 1988
IRDMIS	Installation Restoration Data Management Information System	mg	milligrams	NAS	National Academy of Sciences
IRIS	Integrated Risk Information Service	mg/kg	milligrams per kilogram	NCEA	National Center for Environmental Assessment
IRP	Installation Restoration Program	mg/kg/day	milligram per kilogram per day	NCP	National Contingency Plan
IS	internal standard	mg/kgbw/day	milligrams per kilogram of body weight per day	NCRP	National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements
ISCP	Installation Spill Contingency Plan	mg/L	milligrams per liter	ND	not detected
IT	IT Corporation	mg/m ³	milligrams per cubic meter	NE	no evidence; northeast
ITEMS	IT Environmental Management System TM	mh	inorganic silts, micaceous or diatomaceous fine, sandy or silt soils	ne	not evaluated
‘J’	estimated concentration	MHz	megahertz	NEW	net explosive weight
JeB2	Jefferson gravelly fine sandy loam, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	µg/g	micrograms per gram	NFA	No Further Action
JeC2	Jefferson gravelly fine sandy loam, 6 to 10 percent slopes, eroded	µg/kg	micrograms per kilogram	NG	National Guard
JfB	Jefferson stony fine sandy loam, 0 to 10 percent slopes have strong slopes	µg/L	micrograms per liter	NGP	National Guardsperson
JPA	Joint Powers Authority	µmhos/cm	micromhos per centimeter	ng/L	nanograms per liter
K	conductivity	MeV	mega electron volt	NGVD	National Geodetic Vertical Datum
K _d	soil-water distribution coefficient	min	minimum	Ni	nickel
kg	kilogram	MINICAMS	miniature continuous air monitoring system	NIC	notice of intended change
KeV	kilo electron volt	ml	inorganic silts and very fine sands	NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
K _{oc}	organic carbon partioning coefficient	mL	milliliter	NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
K _{ow}	octonal-water partition coefficient	mm	millimeter	NLM	National Library of Medicine
KMnO ₄	potassium permanganate	MM	mounded material	NO ₃ ⁻	nitrate
L	liter; Lewisite (dichloro-[2-chloroethyl]sulfide)	MMBtu/hr	million Btu per hour	NOEC	no-observable-effect-concentration
L/kg/day	liters per kilogram per day	MNA	monitored natural attenuation	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

NPW	net present worth
No.	number
NOAA	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration
NOAEL	no-observed-adverse-effects-level
NR	not requested; not recorded; no risk
NRC	National Research Council
NRCC	National Research Council of Canada
NRHP	National Register of Historic Places
NRT	near real time
ns	nanosecond
N-S	north to south
NS	not surveyed
NSA	New South Associates, Inc.
nT	nanotesla
nT/m	nanoteslas per meter
NTU	nephelometric turbidity unit
nv	not validated
O ₂	oxygen
O ₃	ozone
O&G	oil and grease
O&M	operation and maintenance
OB/OD	open burning/open detonation
OD	outside diameter
OE	ordnance and explosives
oh	organic clays of medium to high plasticity
OH•	hydroxyl radical
ol	organic silts and organic silty clays of low plasticity
OP	organophosphorus
ORC	Oxygen Releasing Compound
ORP	oxidation-reduction potential
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
OSWER	Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
OVM-PID/FID	organic vapor meter-photoionization detector/flame ionization detector
OWS	oil/water separator
oz	ounce
PA	preliminary assessment
PAH	polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon
PARCCS	precision, accuracy, representativeness, comparability, completeness, and sensitivity
Parsons	Parsons Engineering Science, Inc.
Pb	lead
PBMS	performance-based measurement system
PC	permeability coefficient
PCB	polychlorinated biphenyl
PCDD	polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins
PCDF	polychlorinated dibenzofurans
PCE	perchloroethene
PCP	pentachlorophenol

PDS	Personnel Decontamination Station
PEF	particulate emission factor
PEL	permissible exposure limit
PERA	preliminary ecological risk assessment
PES	potential explosive site
Pest.	pesticides
PETN	pentaerythritoltetranitrate
PFT	portable flamethrower
PG	professional geologist
PID	photoionization detector
PkA	Philo and Stendal soils local alluvium, 0 to 2 percent slopes
PM	project manager
POC	point of contact
POL	petroleum, oils, and lubricants
POTW	publicly owned treatment works
POW	prisoner of war
PP	peristaltic pump; Proposed Plan
ppb	parts per billion
ppbv	parts per billion by volume
PPE	personal protective equipment
ppm	parts per million
PPMP	Print Plant Motor Pool
ppt	parts per thousand
PR	potential risk
PRA	preliminary risk assessment
PRG	preliminary remediation goal
PS	chloropicrin
PSSC	potential site-specific chemical
pt	peat or other highly organic silts
PVC	polyvinyl chloride
QA	quality assurance
QA/QC	quality assurance/quality control
QAM	quality assurance manual
QAO	quality assurance officer
QAP	installation-wide quality assurance plan
QC	quality control
QST	QST Environmental, Inc.
qty	quantity
Qual	qualifier
R	rejected data; resample; retardation factor
R&A	relevant and appropriate
RA	remedial action
RAO	remedial action objective
RBC	risk-based concentration; red blood cell
RBRG	risk-based remedial goal
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RCWM	Recovered Chemical Warfare Material
RD	remedial design

RDX	cyclotrimethylenetrinitramine
ReB3	Rarden silty clay loams
REG	regular field sample
REL	recommended exposure limit
RFA	request for analysis
RfC	reference concentration
RfD	reference dose
RGO	remedial goal option
RI	remedial investigation
RL	reporting limit
RME	reasonable maximum exposure
ROD	Record of Decision
RPD	relative percent difference
RR	range residue
RRF	relative response factor
RSD	relative standard deviation
RTC	Recruiting Training Center
RTECS	Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances
RTK	real-time kinematic
RWIMR	Ranges West of Iron Mountain Road
SA	exposed skin surface area
SAD	South Atlantic Division
SAE	Society of Automotive Engineers
SAIC	Science Applications International Corporation
SAP	installation-wide sampling and analysis plan
SARA	Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act
sc	clayey sands; sand-clay mixtures
Sch.	schedule
SCM	site conceptual model
SD	sediment
SDG	sample delivery group
SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
SDZ	safe distance zone; surface danger zone
SEMS	Southern Environmental Management & Specialties, Inc.
SF	cancer slope factor
SFSP	site-specific field sampling plan
SGF	standard grade fuels
Shaw	Shaw Environmental, Inc.
SHP	installation-wide safety and health plan
SI	site investigation
SINA	Special Interest Natural Area
SL	standing liquid
SLERA	screening-level ecological risk assessment
sm	silty sands; sand-silt mixtures
SM	Serratia marcescens
SMDP	Scientific Management Decision Point
s/n	signal-to-noise ratio
SO ₄ ⁻²	sulfate

List of Abbreviations and Acronyms (Continued)

SOD	soil oxidant demand	TEA	triethylaluminum	VQlfr	validation qualifier
SOP	standard operating procedure	Tetryl	trinitrophenylmethylnitramine	VQual	validation qualifier
SOPQAM	U.S. EPA’s <i>Standard Operating Procedure/Quality Assurance Manual</i>	TERC	Total Environmental Restoration Contract	VX	nerve agent (O-ethyl-S-[diisopropylaminoethyl]-methylphosphonothiolate)
sp	poorly graded sands; gravelly sands	THI	target hazard index	WAC	Women’s Army Corps
SP	submersible pump	TIC	tentatively identified compound	Weston	Roy F. Weston, Inc.
SPCC	system performance calibration compound	TLV	threshold limit value	WP	installation-wide work plan
SPCS	State Plane Coordinate System	TN	Tennessee	WRS	Wilcoxon rank sum
SPM	sample planning module	TNT	trinitrotoluene	WS	watershed
SQRT	screening quick reference tables	TOC	top of casing; total organic carbon	WSA	Watershed Screening Assessment
Sr-90	strontium-90	TPH	total petroleum hydrocarbons	WWI	World War I
SRA	streamlined human health risk assessment	TR	target cancer risk	WWII	World War II
SRM	standard reference material	TRADOC	U.S. Army Training and Doctrine Command	XRF	x-ray fluorescence
Ss	stony rough land, sandstone series	TRPH	total recoverable petroleum hydrocarbons	yd ³	cubic yards
SS	surface soil	TRV	toxicity reference value		
SSC	site-specific chemical	TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act		
SSHO	site safety and health officer	TSDF	treatment, storage, and disposal facility		
SSHP	site-specific safety and health plan	TWA	time-weighted average		
SSL	soil screening level	UCL	upper confidence limit		
SSSL	site-specific screening level	UCR	upper certified range		
SSSSL	site-specific soil screening level	‘U’	not detected above reporting limit		
STB	supertropical bleach	UIC	underground injection control		
STC	source-term concentration	UF	uncertainty factor		
STD	standard deviation	URF	unit risk factor		
STEL	short-term exposure limit	USACE	U.S. Army Corps of Engineers		
STL	Severn-Trent Laboratories	USACHPPM	U.S. Army Center for Health Promotion and Preventive Medicine		
STOLS	Surface Towed Ordnance Locator System®	USAEC	U.S. Army Environmental Center		
Std. units	standard units	USAEHA	U.S. Army Environmental Hygiene Agency		
SU	standard unit	USACMLS	U.S. Army Chemical School		
SUXOS	senior UXO supervisor	USAMPS	U.S. Army Military Police School		
SVOC	semivolatile organic compound	USATCES	U.S. Army Technical Center for Explosive Safety		
SW	surface water	USATEU	U.S. Army Technical Escort Unit		
SW-846	U.S. EPA’s <i>Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste: Physical/Chemical Methods</i>	USATHAMA	U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Material Agency		
SWMU	solid waste management unit	USC	United States Code		
SWPP	storm water pollution prevention plan	USCS	Unified Soil Classification System		
SZ	support zone	USDA	U.S. Department of Agriculture		
TAL	target analyte list	USEPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency		
TAT	turn around time	USFWS	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service		
TB	trip blank	USGS	U.S. Geological Survey		
TBC	to be considered	UST	underground storage tank		
TCA	trichloroethane	UTL	upper tolerance level; upper tolerance limit		
TCDD	2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin	UXO	unexploded ordnance		
TCDF	tetrachlorodibenzofurans	UXOQCS	UXO Quality Control Supervisor		
TCE	trichloroethene	UXOSO	UXO safety officer		
TCL	target compound list	V	vanadium		
TCLP	toxicity characteristic leaching procedure	VC	vinyl chloride		
TDEC	Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation	VOA	volatile organic analyte		
TDGCL	thiodiglycol	VOC	volatile organic compound		
TDGCLA	thiodiglycol chloroacetic acid	VOH	volatile organic hydrocarbon		